

# **Rigid Trunk Sewer Deterioration Prediction Models using Multiple** Discriminant and Neural Network Models in Baghdad City, Iraq

Dr. Awatif Soaded Alsaqqar Assistant professor Engineering College -Baghdad Engineering College -Baghdad University d.alsaqqar@yahoo.com

Dr. Basim Hussein Khudair Assistant professor University basim22003@yahoo.com

Rehab Karim Jbbar M.Sc. Student Engineering College -**Baghdad University** rehabkarim818@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

The deterioration of buried sewers during their lifetime can be affected by several factors leading to bad performance and can damage the infrastructure similar to other engineering structures. The Hydraulic deterioration of the buried sewers caused by sewer blockages while the structural deterioration caused by sewer collapses due to sewer specifications and the surrounding soil characteristics and the groundwater level. The main objective of this research is to develop deterioration models, which are used to predict changes in sewer condition that can provide assessment tools for determining the serviceability of sewer networks in Baghdad city. Two deterioration models were developed and tested using statistical software SPSS, the multiple discriminant model (MDM) and neural network model (NNM). Zublin trunk sewer in Baghdad city was selected as a case study. The deterioration model based on the NNDM provide the highest overall prediction efficiency which could be attributed to its inherent ability to model complex processes. The MDDM provided relatively low overall prediction efficiency, this may be due to the restrictive assumptions by this model. For the NNDM the confusion matrix gave overall prediction efficiency about 87.3% for model training and 70% for model validation, and the overall conclusion from these models may predict that Zublin trunk sewer is of a poor condition.

**Key words:** deterioration model, trunk sewer pipe, sewer conditions, multiple discriminant analysis, artificial neural network.

نماذج تنبؤ تدهور المجاري الرئيسية الصلبة باستخدام نماذج متعددة التمايز والشبكات العصبية في مدينة بغداد، العراق

رحاب كريم جبار	د باسم حسین خضیر	دعواطف سؤدد عبدالحميد
طالبة ماجستير	استاذ مساعد	استاذ مساعد
كلية الهندسة-جامعة بغداد	كلية الهندسة-جامعة بغداد	كلية الهندسة-جامعة بغداد

الخلاصة

يتأثر تدهور المجارى المدفونة خلال فترة عملها بعدة عوامل مما يؤدي الى سوء الاداء وفشل في البني التحتية كما هو الحال مع بقية المنشات الهندسية. إن التدهور الهيدروليكي للمجاري المدفونة سببه انسداد المجاري بينما التدهور الانشائي فسببه انهيار المجاري بسبب مو اصفات المجاري وخصائص التربة المحبطة ومستوى المياه الجوفية.



الهدف الرئيسي من هذا البحث هو تطوير نماذج تدهور والتي تستخدم لتنبؤ التغيرات في حالة الصرف الصحي والتي يمكن أن توفر أدوات تقييم وتحديد خدمية لشبكات الصرف الصحي في مدينة بغداد. وقد تم تطوير وفحص نموذجين للتدهور باستخدام برنامج SPSS وهما نموذج متعددة التمايز (MDM) ونموذج الشبكة العصبية (NNM). وقد تم اختيار خط زبلن الناقل للمجاري في مدينة بغداد كحالة دراسية. إن نموذج التدهور المبني على أساس NNDM أعطى أعلى كفاءة للتنبؤ والتي يمكن أن تعزى إلى قدرته الكامنة لنمذجة العمليات المعقدة. أما نموذج MDDM فلقد قدم كفاءة تنبؤ منخفضة نسبيا وهذا قد يكون راجعا إلى الافتراضات المقيدة بواسطة هذا النموذج بالنسبة لنموذج NNDM أعطى أعلى كفاءة التنبؤ والتي يمكن أن تعزى إلى تدريب الكامنة لنمذجة العمليات المعقدة. أما نموذج MDDM فلقد قدم كفاءة تنبؤ منخفضة نسبيا وهذا قد يكون راجعا إلى الافتراضات المقيدة بواسطة هذا النموذج بالنسبة لنموذج NNDM أعطت مصفوفة التصنيف كفاءة التنبؤ الإجمالية حوالي 87.3 لتدريب النموذج و 70٪ للتأكد من صحة النموذج والاستنتاج العام من هذه النماذج هو التنبؤ بأن خطر زبلن الناقل للمجاري في حدريب الديب

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Sewer networks are subsurface infrastructure systems which collect domestic sewage from different facilities to sewage treatment plants or other places for disposal. Many parts of the sewer network have been deteriorated due to several internal and external factors. This network may need to be replaced, repaired or renovated in order to guarantee their required hydraulic performance and to avoid possibility of failure, **Hemed**, **2015**. Previous studies in the field of sewer deterioration models, as **Davies et al.**, **2001** provided a review of the numerous factors that have been recognized as influencing the structural stability of rigid sewer pipes with their effects on the general process of pipe deterioration and failure.

**Tran, 2007** developed several hydraulic and structural deterioration models in Dandenong in Victoria, Australia, by using Markov model for prediction of individual pipes, and the result showed the best performance when predicting sewer deterioration for the selected case study.

**Chughtai** and **Zayed**, **2008** applied a multiple regression model on data from two Canadian municipalities (Pierrefonds and Niagara Falls) to simulate the condition state of sewers. It was indicated the developed regression models using the determination coefficient ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) which can explain 72 to 88 % of the total variability in the operational and structural sewer conditions. Ana, 2009 applied several deterioration models on sewer and inspection data of Leuven and Antwerp cities, Belgium. The cohort survival model seemed to be the most reliable pipe group model for this case study. For the pipe-level models, the logistic regression and the probabilistic neural network (PNN) showed good overall prediction quality.

**Khan et al., 2010** developed deterioration models using data from Pierrefonds, Canada. They used neural network modeling with back propagation (BPNN) and probabilistic (PNN) approaches. They used about 20% of the available dataset to test the model. The determination coefficient ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) ranged within 71 and 86 % depending on the deterioration factors considered. **Salman, 2010,** applied several deterioration models (ordinal regression, multinomial logistic regression and binary logistic regression analysis) on inspection data of Cincinnati city (USA). The binary logistic regression analysis showed the best performance in predicting sewer deterioration, the total model efficiency was 66%. Prediction efficiency for good condition was 78% and for bad condition 46%.

There are a number of activities that can be undertaken in order to keep the sewer network functional and in good shape such as routine maintenance, repair and renovation. This study is significance to the general authority for sewerage services to monitor the performance of sewer systems in Baghdad city, Iraq, to help utilities to predict future maintenance and rehabilitation or replacement timing. The research's aim is to predict changes in sewer conditions by develop deterioration models that are able to provide assessment tools for Zublin trunk sewer in Baghdad city, Iraq, and to investigate models usefulness and applicability in sewer deterioration modeling.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

## 2.1 Case Study Description

The case study in this paper is the Zublin trunk sewer. It is one of the main lines that collect sewage from Al-Rusafa side in Baghdad city with an estimated total length of around 25.4 km with diameters of 1800-2400 mm at depths of 3-7 m. Reaching Al- Rustamiya sewage treatment plant with 3000 mm in diameter at 6-10 m in depth. This line starts from the municipality of Al-Shaab and ends at Al-Rustamiya sewage treatment plant ( $3^{rd}$  expansion) south of Baghdad as shown in **Fig. 1**.

## 2.2 Data Collection

The predictor's selection and the quality and quantity of the collected data affect models prediction. In this study, data are collected from different departments of Baghdad Mayoralty (design, implementation, planning, operating, maintenance and Geographic Information Systems). In addition, other data were collected from different sections in the different municipalities of Al-Rusafa that Zublin line serves them. The data included: sewer condition, age, material, function, type, shape, diameter, depth, length, slope and traffic intensity.

## **3. SEWER STRUCTURAL DETERIORATION MODELS**

## **3.1 Multiple Discriminant Deterioration Model (MDDM)**

One of the statistical methods that is used to predict or classify individuals into exhaustive and mutually exclusive classes based on a set of predictors is Fisher's linear discriminant analysis LDA, **Huberty, 1994**. The aim of MDDM is to estimate the linear relationship between a single categorical dependent variable (i.e. condition classes) and a set of quantitative independent variables (e.g. deterioration factors) by maximizing variables in the class scatter, which is called Fisher's criterion, **Laitinen, 2007**, and used this criterion as the calibration technique for the LDA, **Johnson** and **Wichern, 2002**.

## 3.1.1 Model description

The MDDM uses a group of linear equations of independent variables (i.e. deterioration factors) to determine classification functions, **Kley et al., 2013**:

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}} = \alpha + \beta \mathbf{i}_1 \mathbf{X}_1 + \beta \mathbf{i}_2 \mathbf{X}_2 + \ldots + \beta \mathbf{i}_n \mathbf{X}_n \tag{1}$$

Where

 $L_i$  is the classification function where i = 1 to j, with j being the number of condition classes, X (1 to n) are the independent variables,  $\beta i$  are the classification coefficients that correspondent to n-number of independent variables,  $\alpha$  is the offset. The determination of the coefficients  $\beta i$  can be done by maximizing the variance between classes relative to the within-class variance of Y, **Sharma**, 1996.



(2)

## 3.1.2 Model assumptions

The following are the assumptions needed to be adhered when using MDDM as: linearity of relationships, equal dispersion matrices and the independent variables follow a multivariate normal distribution, **Hair et al., 1998**. Studies, however, have shown mixed evidence with regard to the sensitivity of MDDM to violations of the above assumptions. Typically, the violations affect the classification process negatively.

## 3.1.3 Standardized discriminant functions coefficients

The standardized coefficients  $\beta_i^*$  are used for the assessment of the relative importance of the discriminator variable i in the discriminant function. These coefficients can be determined using the following expression (**Sharma**, 1996):

$$\beta_i * = \beta_i S_i$$

Where:

 $\beta_i^*$  standardized coefficient,  $\beta_i$  unstandardized coefficient and  $S_i$  the pooled standard deviation of variable i.

## 3.2 Neural Network Deterioration Model (NNDM)

Neural networks can be used to predict outcome data from input data in a manner that simulates the operation of the human nervous system. Unlike statistical models, NNs have no assumptions related with the model structure because it is determined by data. Generally, the model can simulate non-linear relationships within the deterioration process and can handle ordinal outputs such as condition classes. In the case of sewer deterioration modeling, the mathematical relationships between independent variables (deterioration factors) and dependent variable (sewer condition classes) are investigated through learning from past data the deterioration behavior of pipes. Then, the gained knowledge from the past data is generalized and stored in the NNs to predict the pipe's condition, **Tran et al., 2007**.

## 3.2.1 Model structure

Generally, a neural network is composed of artificial neurons that are connected together and ranged in different layers in order to reduce the complexity, **Al-Barqawi** and **Zayed**, **2008**, as shown in **Fig. 2**. The connection weights, which attach the connections between neurons are determined by minimizing the error between the predicted output and the actual output value using the observed data, **Salman**, **2010**. The NNs have always a special input signal values equal 1, with a bias weight. The function of bias weight is to allow or stop the input signals going through by (being non-zero value) or (being zero-value) respectively.

Mathematically, a neural network function can be written as below:

$$Y = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} Xi Wi\right) \tag{3}$$

Where, Y the output signal, Xi the input signal, K the number of input signals, Wi the connection weights, f the activation function.

3.2.2 Feed-forward type

Feed forward type of NNs was used in this study to reduce the unnecessary complexity when determining NN models structure, **Lou et al., 2001**. As can be seen from **Fig. 2**, the connections in the network flow forward from the input layer to the output layer without any feedback loops.

## 3.2.3 Activation functions

The values of units in the succeeding layer are linked to the weighted sums of units in a layer by the activation function. The hyperbolic tangent function was used for the hidden layer neurons and the softmax function was used for output layer neurons in this study, since using automatic architecture and the output is categorical, **IBM® SPSS® Statistics 20 User Guide**.

# 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## 4.1 Data Processing

The dataset available for this network contained 103 records corresponding to individual manholeto-manhole sewer length. In this database some sewers have erroneous entries, e.g. pipes with zero diameters, length and slopes; these sewers were discarded from the analysis. Here, 4 samples with zero length and slope were taken out from the analysis, reducing the useful samples to 99. Out of the 99 useful sewer samples, 79 were set aside for calibration and 20 were for validation. The selection of the pipes for calibration and validation was done using simple random sampling. Some of the entries in the Zublin trunk sewer database are of non-numeric type (e.g. shape, material). These types of data were then converted to numeric type by assigning codes to them, thus facilitating analysis. The data that is needed to build the models and its codes are shown in **Table 1**.

## 4.2 Development of Sewer Structural Deterioration Models

## 4.2.1 MDDM

4.2.1.1 Coefficients of the classification and standardized discriminant functions

The classification functions coefficients can be used to classify easily sewers into condition states. Whereas, the coefficients of the standardized discriminant functions can be used to assess the relative importance of the discriminator variables, as shown in **Table 2**.

## 4.2.1.2 Sample prediction

A similar function to Eq. (1) can be written using the above coefficients to create the four classification functions for the prediction of the condition states of the Zublin sewers. The following classification functions,  $L_i$ , can be written for condition states i = 2, 3, 4, 5 (there is no sewer pipe in condition 1, which is excellent):

 $L_2 = -6.834 \times \text{Type} + 3.365 \times \text{Age} + 0.029 \times \text{Diameter} + 4.929 \times \text{depth} + 0.064 \times \text{Length} + 25.754 \times \text{Slope} + 11.299 \times \text{Traffic} + 51.375 \times \text{Material} - 151.750$ 

 $L_3 = -7.944 \times \text{Type} + 4.286 \times \text{Age} + 0.029 \times \text{Diameter} + 2.595 \times \text{Depth} + 0.069 \times \text{Length} + 32.207 \times \text{Slope} + 10.458 \times \text{Traffic} + 44.421 \times \text{Material} - 156.076$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

Number 8

 $L_4 = -9.352 \times \text{Type} + 5.009 \times \text{Age} + 0.029 \times \text{Diameter} + 2.520 \times \text{Depth} + 0.057 \times \text{Length} + 32.185 \times \text{Slope} + 9.508 \times \text{Traffic} + 44.367 \times \text{Material} - 172.877$ 

 $L_5 = -9.130 \times \text{Type} + 5.996 \times \text{Age} + 0.030 \times \text{Diameter} + 2.110 \times \text{Depth} + 0.058 \times \text{Length} + 28.655 \times \text{Slope} + 8.213 \times \text{Traffic} + 43.040 \times \text{Material} - 204.833$ 

To make a classification, the observed values of the predictors are inserted into the classification functions above to calculate a classification score. The observation is assigned to the class with the highest classification score.

### 4.2.2 NNDM

In this model, approximately 64% of the data were assigned for training, 17% for testing and 19% to a holdout sample. Furthermore, values of all the scale input factors are rescaled using normalized method according to Eq. (4) to improve network training.

$$X_i = \frac{X - min}{max - min} \tag{4}$$

### 4.2.2.1 Training of NNDM

NNDM training in this study, is used to calculate the model structure (i.e. the network weights and the hidden neurons numbers). For the hidden neurons numbers, four neurons in the hidden layer has chosen by automatic architecture selection. The optimization algorithm that is used to estimate the network weights is scaled conjugate gradient with batch training type as they suitable for small datasets.

### 4.2.2.2 Sample prediction

The model architecture is listed in **Table 3**, the condition of a sewer with a particular characteristic can then be predicted.

The non-linear relationship between the input and output data can be written as follows:

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i W_i + (W_o)$$
(5)  

$$H_i = \tanh(C)$$
(6)  

$$Y_i = \frac{e^{(H_k)}}{C}$$
(7)

$$Y_i = \frac{1}{\sum_j e^{(H_j)}}$$
(7)

Where: n the number of the predictors,  $W_o$  bias weight,  $H_i$  the output of the hidden neurons,  $Y_j$  the output of the output neuron,  $H_k$  is the input for  $Y_j$ .

To make a classification, the observed values of the predictors are inserted into the equations above to calculate a classification score, which is a value between 0-1. The observation is assigned to the class with the highest classification score.

4.2.2.3 Independent Variable Importance

The importance of each independent variable was computed in determining the neural network based on the combined training and calibrating samples, **IBM® SPSS® Statistics 20 User Gide**. **Table 4** appears that variables age and traffic have the greatest effect on how the network classifies sewers followed by diameter, material, type, slope, length, depth respectively. The independent variable importance is a measure of the amount of changes in value, and predicted the network model for different values of the independent variable while normalized importance is simply the importance values divided by the largest importance values and expressed as percentages.

## 4.3 Model Performance Evaluation

For evaluating model performance, the model error (i.e. the difference between predicted and observed values) must be quantified, **Wright et al., 2006**. When high model error, the performance model is low. The confusion matrix is often used for ordinal and categorical outputs. The validation dataset should be used to effectively test the model, **Baik et al., 2006**.

4.3.1 Confusion matrix

When comparing an observed values with model prediction, four possible situations can be observed: (1) true positive (TP) when the model correctly predicts the sewer condition (i.e. pipe in good condition), (2) true negative (TN) when the model correctly predicts the sewer condition (i.e. pipe in poor condition), (3) false positive (FP) when the model incorrectly predicts the sewer condition as a negative case (i.e. sewer in good condition predicted as being in bad condition), and (4) false negative (FN) when the model incorrectly predicts the sewer condition predicted as being in good condition) as a positive case (e.g. sewer in poor condition predicted as being in good condition) as shown in **Table 5**. The TP11 in this table means the number of pipes which were observed and correctly predicted in condition 1. In addition, O1, O2 and O3 represent the total pipes number which were observed in condition 1, 2 and 3 respectively and P1, P2 and P3 represent the total pipes number which were predicted in condition 1, 2 and 3 respectively, **Tran, 2007**.

The overall predicted efficiency (OPE) was used to evaluate the performance prediction of MDDM and NNDM which were developed in this study to predict the changes of pipe conditions. The OPE can be computed from the confusion matrix using Eq. (8). Evaluating

$$OPE = \frac{\text{TP11+ TP22+TN33}}{\text{01+ 02+ 03}}$$
(8)

**Tables 6 and 7** are the confusion matrices for MDDM and NNDM. Which showed that the deterioration model based on the NNDM provide the highest overall prediction efficiency. The high overall prediction efficiency by the NNDM could be attributed to its inherent ability to model complex processes. The MDDM provided relatively low overall prediction efficiency, this may be

due to the restrictive assumptions by this model such as the assumption of the normality of the predictor variables which is difficult to satisfy with the given dataset.

# 5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In this paper, the MDDM and NNDM, were developed, tested and evaluated using the sewer dataset as an assessment tool for determining the serviceability of the Zublin trunk sewer. Among these two models, the NNDM was found to have a high overall prediction efficiency level than MDDM. This model, however, is susceptible to bias in predicting the conditions of sewers with the greatest number of samples in the calibration dataset. According to NNDM the most effective factors influence deterioration model is age, traffic, diameter, material, type, slope, length, depth respectively.

The overall conclusion from these models may predict that Zublin trunk sewer is of a poor condition. To solve this problem continuous maintenance may keep the sewer in good condition and it working in a high performance level reaching the design limits. A good documentation of all observations and problems will help reviewing the sewer system performance as well as providing a good source of information for future planning.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our special gratitude and many thanks are forwarded to the "*Mayoralty of Baghdad/Baghdad Sewage and Al-Rustamiya STP, s Office-*", without their support and encouragement this work would have not been done.

## REFERENCES

- Al-Barqawi, H. and Zayed, T., 2008, *Infrastructure Management: Integrated AHP/ANN Model to Evaluate Municipal Water Mains' Performance*, J. Infrastructure Systems, 14(4): 305-318.
- Ana, E.V., 2009, Sewer Asset Management Sewer Structural Deterioration Modeling and Multicriteria Decision Making in Sewer Rehabilitation Projects Prioritization, Ph.D thesis, Department of Hydrology and Hydraulic Engineering, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel.
- Baik, H. S., Jeong, H. S. and Abraham, D. M., 2006, *Estimating Transition Probabilities in Markov Chain-Based Deterioration Models for Management of Wastewater Systems*, Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management, ASCE, 132 (1): 15-24.
- Chughtai, F. and Zayed, T., 2008, Infrastructure Condition Prediction Models for Sustainable Sewer Pipelines. Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities, 22(5): 333-341.
- Davies, J.P., Clarke, B.A. and Whiter, J.T., 2001, *Factors Influencing the Structural Deterioration and Collapse of Rigid Sewer Pipes*, Urban Water Journal, 3: 73-89.
- Hair, J.F., Anderson, R.E., Tatham, R.L. and Black, W.C., 1998, *Multivariate data analysis*, London: Prentice-Hall.



- Hemed, A.J., 2015, *Life Cycle Cost Analysis and Deterioration Model for Sewer Network in Baghdad*. Doctoral dissertation, College of Engineering, University of Baghdad Iraq.
- Huberty, C.J., 1994, *Applied Discriminant Analysis*, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- IBM® SPSS® Statistics 20 User Guide.
- Johnson, R. A. and Wichern, D. W., 2002, *Applied Multivariate Analysis*. Prentice Hall, New York.
- Khan, Z., Zayed, T. and Moselhi, O., 2010, *Structural Condition Assessment of Sewer Pipelines*. Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities 24: 170-179.
- Kley, G., Kropp, I., Schmidt, T. and Caradot, N., 2013, *Review of Available Technologies and Methodologies for Sewer Condition Evaluation*. KWB report, project SEMA, 2013 Berlin, Germany.
- Laitinen, E.K., 2007, *Classification Accuracy and Correlation: Failure Prediction*, European Journal of Operational Research, 183: 210-225.
- Lou, Z., Gunaratne, M., Lu, J. J. and Dietrich, B., 2001, *Application of Neural Network Model to Forecast Short-Term Pavement Crack Condition: Florida Case Study*, Journal of Infrastructure Systems, ASCE, 7 (4): 166-171.
- Salman, B., 2010, Infrastructure Management and Deterioration Risk Assessment of Wastewater Collection Systems, Ph.D thesis, University of Cincinnati. Ohio.
- Sharma, S., 1996, *Applied Multivariate Techniques*, New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Tran, D.H., 2007, *Investigation of Deterioration Models for Stormwater Pipe Systems*, Doctoral dissertation, School of Architectural, Civil and Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Health, Engineering and Science, Victoria University, Australia.
- Tran, D.H., Perera, B.J.C. and Ng, A.W.M., 2007, *Neural Network Based Prediction Models for Structural Deterioration of Urban Drainage Pipes*. Land, Water and Environmental Management: Integrated Systems for Sustainability, Proceedings, Christchurch pp. 2264-2270.
- Wright, L.T., Heaney, J.P. and Dent, S., 2006, *Prioritizing Sanitary Sewers for Rehabilitation Using Least-Cost Classifiers*, Journal of Infrastructure Systems, ASCE, 12 (3): 174-183.



Number 8

Figure 1. Zublin trunk sewer layout (Mayoralty of Baghdad).



Figure 2. Structure of the NNs (Al-Barqawi and Zayed, 2008).

Data	Measurement type	Codes
Sewer condition	Ordinal	1-excellent, 2- very good, 3- good, 4- poor, 5-
		very poor.
Age [years]	Continuous	
Pipe material	Nominal categorical	1- Concrete, 2- PVC, 3- GRP.
Function	Nominal categorical	1- Combined system, 2- separate system.
Туре	Nominal categorical	1- Gravity sewer, 2- pressure sewer.
Pipe shape	Nominal categorical	1- Circular, 2- rectangular.
Size [mm]	Continuous	
Depth [m]	Continuous	
Length [m]	Continuous	
Slope [m/m]	Continuous	
Traffic intensity (based on	Ordinal	1- Low, 2- medium, 3- high.
location)		

Table 1. Summary	code of sewer	network.
------------------	---------------	----------

Table 2.	Coefficients	of the	classification	and standardized	discriminant	functions.
----------	--------------	--------	----------------	------------------	--------------	------------

Predictor	Class	sification fun	ctions coeffic	cients	Standardized discriminant			
variables					functions coefficients			
	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	
Туре	-6.834	-7.944	-9.352	-9.130	.145	.359	280	
Age	3.365	4.286	5.009	5.996	-1.030	.011	.032	
Diameter	.029	.029	.029	.030	048	060	129	
Depth	4.929	2.873	2.595	2.110	.544	.956	.823	
Length	.064	.069	.057	.058	.075	.064	449	
Slope	25.754	26.346	32.207	28.655	062	405	.545	
Traffic	11.299	10.458	9.508	8.213	.407	116	098	
Material	51.375	44.421	44.367	43.040	.322	.702	.795	
(Constant)	-151.750	-156.076	-172.877	-204.833				

		Predicted								
			Hidden	Layer 1		Output Layer				
						[Condit	[Condition	[Condition	[Condition	
Prec	lictor	H(1:1)	H(1:2)	H(1:3)	H(1:4)	ion=2]	=3]	=4]	=5]	
Input Layer	(Bias)	.052	1.530	.406	.343					
	[type=1]	.184	.539	182-	344-					
	[type=2]	513-	.807	091-	158-					
	[traffic=1]	088-	.513	-1.443-	.475					
	[traffic=2]	.983	.569	1.221	113-					
	[traffic=3]	-1.756-	1.124	.169	231-					
	[material=1]	.016	.466	939-	170-					
	[material=2]	361-	.408	1.125	376-					
	age	4.078	-4.823-	-1.254-	.322					
	diameter	-1.130-	225-	-1.191-	340-					
	depth	085-	.220	-1.187-	135-					
	length	.766	.015	334-	.467					
	slope	.301	319-	.631	199-					
Hidden	(Bias)					500-	.162	1.195	253-	
Layer 1	H(1:1)					-3.017-	880-	3.191	1.432	
	H(1:2)					1.835	1.071	1.707	-4.749-	
	H(1:3)					.747	-1.643-	2.301	-1.854-	
	H(1:4)					.083	.493	058-	312-	

**Table 3.** Estimation of hidden and output parameters.

Number 8

		Normalized			Normalized
parameter	Importance	Importance	parameter	Importance	Importance
Туре	.063	13.3%	Diameter	.078	16.5%
Traffic	.187	39.8%	Depth	.036	7.7%
Material	.072	15.3%	Length	.043	9.1%
Age	.471	100.0%	Slope	.050	10.7%

 Table 4. Independent Variable Importance.

**Table 5.** Confusion matrix.

	ion				
		1 (good)	2 (fair)	3 (poor)	Total
Observed	1 (good)	$TP_{11}$	FP <sub>12</sub>	FP <sub>13</sub>	$O_1$
condition	2 (fair)	FP <sub>21</sub>	TP <sub>22</sub>	FP <sub>23</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>
	3 (poor)	FN <sub>31</sub>	FN <sub>32</sub>	TN <sub>33</sub>	O <sub>3</sub>
Total		<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	

Table 6. Prediction efficiencies during the calibration and validation of the MDDM.

					Predicted Group Membership				
Condition			2	3	4	5	Total		
Calibration (a)	Count	2	6	1	0	0	7		
		3	3	10	4	1	18		
		4	0	1	25	3	29		
		5	0	0	2	23	25		
Validation (b)	Count	2	1	2	0	0	3		
		3	0	2	1	4	7		
		4	0	0	5	0	5		
		5	0	0	0	5	5		

a.81.0% of calibration sample correctly classified. b.65.0% of validation sample correctly classified.

		Predicted							
						Percent			
Sample	Observed	2	3	4	5	Correct			
Training	2	2	2	0	0	50.0%			
	3	0	10	3	1	71.4%			
	4	0	0	20	1	95.2%			
	5	0	1	0	23	95.8%			
	Overall	2 204	20.6%	26 50%	20.7%	87 30/			
	Percent	5.270	20.070	30.3%	39.170	07.3%			
Holdout	2	1	3	0	0	25.0%			
	3	0	5	1	0	83.3%			
	4	0	2	4	0	66.7%			
	5	0	0	0	4	100.0%			
	Overall	5.0%	50.0%	25 0%	20.0%	70.0%			
	Percent	5.0%	50.070	23.0%	20.0%	70.0%			

**Table 7.** Prediction efficiencies during the calibration and validation of the NNDM.