

Journal of Engineering journal homepage: <u>www.joe.uobaghdad.edu.iq</u> Number 9 Volume 26 September 2020



**Civil and Architectural Engineering** 

## Evaluating Electrocoagulation Process for Water Treatment Efficiency Using Response Surface Methodology

Rand Shakir Mahmood M.Sc. Student Engineering College -Baghdad University <u>engrand.shakir@gmail.com</u> Dr. Nawar O.A.Al-Musawi Assist. Prof. Engineering College -Baghdad University <u>nawaromran@yahoo.com</u>

#### ABSTRACT

**T**he electrocoagulation process became one of the most important technologies used for water treatment processes in the last few years. It's the preferred method to remove suspended solids and heavy metals from water for treating drinking water and wastewater from textile, diary, and electroplating factories. This research aims to study the effect of using the electrocoagulation process with aluminum electrodes on the removal efficiency of suspended solids and turbidity presented in raw water and optimizing by the response surface methodology (RSM). The most important variables studied in this research included electrode spacing, the applied voltage, and the operating time of the electrocoagulation process. The samples were taken from the Al Qadisiyiah water treatment plant. The treatment set up was in a batch mode; two parallel plates of aluminum were used as electrodes. Experimental results showed that the maximum removal efficiency of 96% for turbidity and 97% for TSS were obtained at operating time 60 minutes, voltage 30 V, and electrode spacing 1.7cm. Two models for predicting removal efficiency obtained, the first model was for turbidity with a correction factor of 94.7%, and the second one was for the TSS with a correction factor of 94.85%.

**Keywords:** Electrocoagulation, Al - Qadisiyiah water treatment plant, Total suspended solids, turbidity.

# تقييم كفاءة عملية التخثير الكهربائي قي معالجة المياه باستخدام طريقة سطح النمذجة

**د. نوار عمران علي الموسوي** استاذ مساعد جامعة بغداد-كلية الهندسة

**رند شاكر محمود** طالبة ماجستير كلية الهندسة-جامعة بغداد

الخلاصة

أصبحت عملية التخثير الكهربائي واحدة من أهم التقنيات المستخدمة لعمليات معالجة المياه في السنوات القليلة الماضية. إنها الطريقة المفضلة لإزالة المواد الصلبة العالقة والمعادن الثقيلة من المياه لمعالجة مياه الشرب ومياه الصرف الصحي من مصانع النسيج ، والألبسة ، والطلاء الكهربائي. الهدف من هذا البحث هو دراسة تأثير استخدام عملية التخثير الكهربائي مع أقطاب

\*Corresponding author

Peer review under the responsibility of University of Baghdad.

https://doi.org/10.31026/j.eng.2020.09.02

2520-3339 © 2019 University of Baghdad. Production and hosting by Journal of Engineering. This is an open access article under the CC BY4 license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)</u>. Article received: 15/2/2020

Article accepted: 30/4/2020

Article published: 1/9/2020



Number 9 Volume 26 September 2020 Journal of Engineering

الالمنيوم على كفاءة إز الة المواد الصلبة العالقة والكدرة في المياه الخام باستخدام طريقة منهجية سطح الاستجابة اهم المتغيرات التي تمت در استها في هذا البحث هو المسافة بين الاقطاب ، والجهد المطبق ، ووقت التشغيل لعملية التخثير الكهربائي تم اخذ العينات من محطة معالجة مياه القادسية لهذه الدراسة. تمت عملية المعالجة بطريقة ال (batch mode) ، باستخدم لوحان متوازيان من الألومنيوم يستخدمان كاقطاب كهربائية. أظهرت النتائج المختبرية أن أقصى كفاءة إز الة تبلغ 96٪ للكدرة و 97٪ ل للمواد الصلبة العالقة و التي تم الحصول عليها في وقت التشغيل 60 دقيقة ، والجهد 30 فولت ، المسافة بين الاقطاب 1.7 سم. تم الحصول على نموذجين للتنبؤ بكفاءة الإز الة ، وكان النموذج الأول للكدرة بعامل تصحيح 94.7٪ والثاني لـ للمواد الصلبة العالقة بعامل تصحيح 94.8٪ والثاني المواد المواد المواد النموذج الأول للكدرة بعامل تصحيح 94.7٪ والثاني لـ للمواد الصلبة العالقة بعامل تصحيح 94.7٪ والثاني المواد الصلبة العالقة والثاني المواد الموا

**الكلمات الرئيسية:** عماية التخثير الكهربائي ، محطة معالجة ماء القادسية، المواد العالقة الصلبة، الكدرة، كفاءة الازالة.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Water quality is a growing global concern. Polluted water and inadequate sanitation kill two children every minute worldwide (Ewaid, et al., 2017). High concentrations of suspended solids decrease water quality. This adversely affects aesthetics and recreation in surface water bodies (Appleby and Appleby, 1989).

In the last few years, water levels in Iraq's rivers have rapidly decreased to less than a third of their normal capacity. Poor drinking water and sanitation have increased the risk of waterborne diseases, especially among vulnerable groups such as children and women (Iraq, UN, 2013). Therefore a modern, simple, economic and effective technologies should be applied for water treatment. Electrocoagulation treatment is the preferred method to remove suspended solids and heavy metals from water for treating drinking water and wastewater from textile, diary, and electroplating factories (Chen, 2004).

In an EC unit, electrical current applied through a set of metal electrodes, most often made from aluminum or stainless steel, submerged in the raw water to be treated. Metal ions dissolved from the anode by the current, while hydrogen gas produced at the cathode. After dissolving in the raw water, the metal ions react with the water, creating metal hydroxides, which again react with dissolved pollutants and colloids in the water, in the same way, traditional chemical precipitation works. For aluminum electrodes, the following reaction takes place in the anode (**Mollah, et al., 2001**)

$$M \rightarrow M^+ + e^- \tag{1}$$

$$AL_{(s)} \rightarrow Al_{aq}^{+3} + 3e^{-}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

At the other half of the cell, the water is hydrolyzed to form hydrogen gas by the following reaction:

$$2H_2O_L + 2e^- \rightarrow H_{2g} + 2 OH^- \tag{3}$$

The product of anodic reaction  $(M^+)$  combines with the cathodes product  $(OH^-)$  to form insoluble products, as shown in the reaction below:

$$M^+ + OH^- \rightarrow MOH$$
 (4)

As well as for the products are:  $Al(OH)^{+2}$ ,  $Al(OH)_2^{+2}$ ,  $Al(OH)_4^{-}$ ,  $Al_6(OH)_{15}^{+3}$ ,  $Al_7(OH)_{17}^{+4}$ ,  $(OH)_{20}^{+4}$ ,  $Al_{13}O_4(OH)_{24}^{+4}$ , and  $Al_{13}O_4(OH)_{24}^{+4}$  These intermediate compounds then transform into  $Al(OH)_3$  (**Mollah, et al., 2004**)

$$Al^{+3} + 30H^{-} \rightarrow (OH)_{3} \tag{5}$$



The most common and best form of aluminum electrode is called **Keggin cation Al<sub>13</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(OH)<sub>24</sub><sup>+7</sup>**, which is considered as the main source of precipitate and soluble complexes is known as Al<sub>13</sub> ion (**Sarpola, 2007**). The most important parameters that can affect the electrochemical process is the applied voltage, the distance between electrodes. Therefore the effect of them on the total suspended solids and turbidity removal efficiency were studied in this research. As the applied voltage increased, the removal efficiency and the current passing through the solution increased (**Wang, et al., 2009**). The distance between electrodes is related to the resistance that occurs through the solution, as this distance decreases, the rate of anode dissolution increases, and the rate of Al<sup>+3</sup> production increase because of the increase in the current (**Ahmed and Muhanned**, **2010**). It is not simple to evaluate the optimum condition in the electrocoagulation process, so response surface methodology (RS M) used in this research for that purpose. RSM is a merging of mathematical and statistical techniques employed to amplify and optimize different processes (**Bennajah, 2007**). This research aims to study the effect of using the electrocoagulation process with aluminum electrodes on the removal efficiency of suspended solids and turbidity presented in raw water and optimizing by the response surface methodology (RS M).

#### 2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

#### 2.1 Electrocoagulation Process Description

The experiments carried out in a batch electrocoagulation system. A schematic diagram is shown in **Fig.1.** It consists of two parallel plates of aluminum electrodes with the dimensions of  $(10 \times 4 \times 2 \text{ mm})$  to obtain an active area of 121.4 cm<sup>2</sup>. The composition of the aluminum electrodes is as shown in **Table1**.



Figure.1 Schematic diagram of the experimental setup.

Table 1. The compositi	on of electrodes.
------------------------	-------------------

Electrode type	Code	Composition, % Wt		
Al	1099	Al=99.99	Cu, Si, Fe < 0.01	

The real photo of the electrocoagulation system at the laboratory shown in Fig.2.





Figure.2 Electrocoagulation system at the laboratory.

#### Other tools are as follows:

- 1- Digital DC power supply; Type DAZHENG (PS-302D) with a range 0-30V and 2A.
- 2- Multi-meter (A-meter and volt-meter) was used to measure the current and voltage for the system.
- 3- Magnetic stirrer, Stirring speed: 60-1500 rpm, (LMS-HTS-1003, Japan).
- 4- Aluminum electrodes.
- 5- Graduated cylinders.
- 6- Stopwatch.
- 7- The compact Lovibond® infrared turbidity meter TurbiCheck was used for turbidity measuring
- 8- A Lovibond / Photometer MultiDirect instrument used for TSS determination.

The batch electrocoagulation reactor is made of Plexiglas with a volume of 1 liter with a dimension of 11 cm in diameter, 12 cm height of an effective volume of 1140.4cm<sup>3</sup>.

#### 2.2 Data Collection and Analysis

r

The real water sample used in this research was collected from AL-Qadiseyah WTP has the characteristics, as shown in **Table 2**.

Parameter	Unit	Value
Turbidity	NTU	51.3
Total suspended solids (TSS)	mg/L	37
Electrical Conductivity	µs/cm	1280
PH	-	7.58

<b>Fable 2.</b> Characteristics of the water sample	e.
---	----



#### 2.3 Experimental setup and procedure

The experiments were carried out in the electrocoagulation cell using a magnetic stirrer to obtain a proper mixing with speed 150 rpm (**Bayar, et al., 2011**). (1L) of the sample was introduced into the cell for each experiment. The cell was comprised of two parallel plates of aluminum electrodes as anode and cathode with a variable distance of (1-3) cm between them.

According to (Mameri, et al., 2001) and (Alameen and Majeed, 2020), the surface area to volume ratio of the electrodes should be in the range of  $(6.9-42.5) \text{ m}^2 / \text{m}^3$ , so the electrodes in this research designed with a surface area to volume ratio (A/V) of  $10.65 \text{m}^2/\text{m}^3$  which it is in this cited range.

Electrodes were connected to the terminals of a DC power supply. The electrical circuit was switched on as soon as the electrodes were covered by the electrolyte. The reactor operates at a constant voltage and varied current to sustain the required voltage. The process started, and the voltage was kept constant using one of (5-30) volt with an hour in each run.

During each run, samples of 10 ml were taken each 10 min during the process. It was let to settle and was taken to be analyzed.

At the end of each run, the system was washed several times with water and once with HCl to remove any solids tending to cling at the inside walls of the cell and to avoid passivity of the electrodes.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# **3.1** The effect of the operation parameter on the suspended solids and turbidity removal efficiency

This set of experimental runs showed the influence of voltage on the suspended solids and turbidity removal efficiency using aluminum electrodes at a different spacing distance (1, 2, and 3 cm). The voltage values tested were (from 5 volts to 30 volts). The majority of papers use a spacing of 1 to 3 cm. Smaller spacing causes a pressure drop in open system operation, and larger spacing causes a decrease in the internal resistance of the cell, causing higher current for the same performance. Higher voltage range consumes high energy, and lower voltage has no significant effect. As for the time, longer treatment duration leads to larger reactor volume for the same flow rate (Mameri, et al., 2001).

It is shown in **Fig. 3**, for 1 cm spacing, the highest TSS elimination was obtained after 60 minutes of treatment at a voltage 30V.





Figure 3. TSS removal efficiency at 1cm spacing between electrodes.

The turbidity removal reached 90% for voltage higher than 25V after 50 min, as shown in Fig.4.



Figure 4. Turbidity removal efficiency al 1cm spacing between electrodes.

When electrode spacing increased to 2 cm, the time and voltage required to reach the maximum removal were slightly increased, as shown in **Fig. 5**, and **Fig. 6**. 95% TSS elimination was obtained after 60 minutes of treatment at 30V. At the same time, the turbidity removal reached 90% for voltage higher than 25V after 50 min.





Figure 5. TSS removal efficiency at 2cm spacing between electrodes.



Figure 6. Turbidity removal efficiency at 2cm spacing between electrodes.

The final spacing studied was 3 cm, as shown in **Fig.7**, complete TSS elimination was obtained after 60 minutes of treatment at 30 V.





Figure 7. TSS removal efficiency at 3cm spacing between electrodes.

The turbidity removal reached 90% for voltage higher than 25V, as shown in Fig.8.



Figure 8. Turbidity removal efficiency at 3cm spacing between electrodes.

After studying the electrode spacing for aluminum, it was found that the 1cm electrode spacing at any voltage gave higher removal were the majority of the removal of TSS was 90%-95, and turbidity was about 90 %. This behavior was also observed by (**Modirshala, et al., 2007**)

#### 3.2 Optimization of Electrocoagulation Process by Response Surface Methodology

Experimental design for Response Surface Methodology (RS M) is a useful statistical tool for the optimization of different processes and widely used for experimental design. In this method, the leading objective is to optimize the response surface influenced by different parameters. RSM also identified the relationship between the controllable input parameters and the response variable.



The general behavior of electrocoagulation simulated by a mathematical equation: this equation represents the regression model. Response surface methods are used to examine the relationship between two response variables (Turbidity and TSS), and a set of quantitative experimental, the factors considered in this study are: (voltage, electrode spacing, and time).

The models obtained by RSM using Minitab 17 software in this research are as follows:

#### 3.2.1 **Turbidity Model**

The turbidity removal modeled using the RSM in Minitab 17 software, the regression equation of the turbidity represented in Eq.6

 $R\%_1$ = -16.28 + 26.38 spacing, cm + 2.679 voltage, v + 1.367 time - 7.321 spacing, cm\*spacing, cm - 0.02571 voltage, v\*voltage, v - 0.00805 time\*time - 0.0658 spacing, cm\*voltage, v - 0.0032 spacing, cm\*time - 0.00916 voltage, v\*time (6)

The correlation coefficient "R2" is equal to 94.7%, which can give a good correlation between parameters and responses.

The relation between the removal and the effecting variables (voltage and spacing) is also represented in the 2D contour graph. The graph is calculated by the Minitab 17 software was the darker the green color, the higher the removal, as shown in **Fig.9**.





The optimization performance of the design and response variables is shown in **Fig.10**, where the best optimization is approached by an overall removal of 97.5% at optimum operating conditions, time at 60 min, 30 voltage, and 1.64 cm spacing.

Number 9 Volume 26 September 2020

Journal of Engineering





### 3.2.2 Total Suspended Solids Model

The total suspended solids removal efficiency model represent in Eq.7.

 $\label{eq:rescaled} \begin{array}{l} R\%_2 = 38.36 + 5.59 \mbox{ spacing, cm} + 1.422 \mbox{ voltage, v} + 0.959 \mbox{ time} - 5.030 \mbox{ spacing, cm} \mbox{ spacing, cm} \mbox{ spacing, cm} + 0.10670 \mbox{ time} + 0.1776 \mbox{ spacing, cm} \mbox{ voltage, v} + 0.1120 \mbox{ spacing, cm} \mbox{ time} - 0.01005 \mbox{ voltage, v} \mbox{ vitime} \end{array}$ 

The correlation coefficient " $R^2$ " is equal to 94.85%, which can give a good correlation between parameters and responses.

The relation between the removal and the effecting variables (voltage and spacing) is also represented in the 2D contour graph. The graph is calculated by the Minitab 17 software was the darker the green color, the higher the removal, as shown in **Fig.11**.



Figure 11. 2D Contour Graph of the Total Suspended Solids Removal Efficiency and the Effecting Variables.



The optimization performance of the design and response variables is shown in **Fig.12**, where the best optimization is approached by an overall removal of 100% at optimum operating conditions, time at 60 min, 30 voltage, and 1.75 cm spacing.



Figure 12. The optimal result of total suspended solids removal.

#### 3.2.3 The Optimum Condition for Both Total Suspended Solids and Turbidity

It is important to get the optimal condition to obtain the highest removal for both the TSS and turbidity, as shown in **Fig.13**. At 96% TSS removal and 97% turbidity removal, the conditions were 1.7cm spacing, 30 volts, and 60 min



Figure.13 The optimal result for total suspended solids and turbidity



#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

- 1- The water treatment using the electrocoagulation process was successfully achieved. It showed a dependence on time, the electrode spacing, and the applied voltage.
- 2- As the voltage increased from 5 to 30 volt, the maximum removal efficiency increased to 92% for the turbidity, and 95% for the total suspended solids removal at 2cm electrode spacing.
- 3- The1st model for turbidity with a correction factor of 94.7%, and the 2nd for the TSS with a correction factor of 94.85%, were two models for predicting removal efficiency. Optimal results indicated that at 60 minutes, the voltage was 30V and electrodes 1.7 cm spaced, maximum removal effectiveness of 96% for turbid, and 97% for TSS was achieved.
- 4- The applied voltage shows the increase in the applied voltage led to an increase in removal efficiency.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahmed, A. M., and Muhanned, D. F., 2010. Removal of lead from simulated wastewater by electrocoagulation method. *Journal of Engineering*, Volume 16, pp. 5811-5821.
- Alameen, M., and Majeed, N., 2020. Removal of Cadmium from Industrial Wastewater using Electrocoagulation Process. *Journal of Engineering*, Volume 26(1), pp. 24-34.
- Al-Kayiem, H. H. S. M. F. B. M. a. M. Y. R., 2010. Study on the thermal accumulation and distribution inside a parked car cabin. *American journal of applied sciences*, pp. 7(6), 784-789.
- Appleby, J. R., and Scarratt, D. J., 1989. Physical effects of suspended solids on marine and estuarine fish and shellfish with special reference to ocean dumping: A literature review. *Canadian Technical Report of Fisheries,* Volume 1, p. 1681–1687.
- Bayar, S., Yildiz, Y. S., Yilmaz, A. E., and Irdemez, S., 2011. The effect of stirring speed and current density on removal efficiency of poultry slaughterhouse wastewater by electrocoagulation method. *Desalination*, 280(1-3), pp. 103-107.
- Bennajah, M., 2007. Traitement des rejets industriels liquide par électrocoagulation/électroflottation en réacteur airlift. *Institut National Polytechnique de Toulouse*.
- Chen, G., 2004. Electrochemical technologies in wastewater treatment. *Separation and purification Technology*, 38(1), pp. 11-41.
- Ewaid, S. H., Abd, R. S. & Abed, S. A., 2017. Assessment the Performance of Al-Nassriya Water Purification Plant, South of Iraq. *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences,* Volume 8 (3), pp. 2440-2446.
- Iraq, UN, 2013. Water in Iraq Factsheet. Iraq Joint Analysis and Policy Unit.



- Mameri, N. et al., 2001. Defluoridation of Sahara water by small plant electrocoagulation using bipolar aluminium electrodes. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 24(1-2), pp. 113-119.
- Modirshala, N., Behnajady, M. A., and Kooshaiian, S., 2007. Investigation of the effect of different electrode connections on the removal efficiency of Tartrazine from aqueous solution by electrocoagulation. *Dyes and pigments*, Volume 74(2), pp. 249-257.
- Mollah, M. Y. A., Schennach, R., Parga, J. R., and Cocke, D., 2001. Electrocoagulation (EC)—science and applications. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 84(1), pp. 29-41.
- Mollah, M. Y. et al., 2004. Fundamentals, present and future perspectives of electrocoagulation. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 114(1-3), pp. 199-210.
- Sarpola, A., 2007. *The Hydrolysis of Aluminium: A Mass Spectrometric Study*. s.l.: University of Oulu Oulu, Finland.
- Wang, C. T., Chou, W. L. and Kuo, Y. M., 2009. Removal of COD from laundry wastewater by electrocoagulation/electroflotation. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 164(1), pp. 81-86.