Nonlinear Finite Element Analysis of Fiber Reinforced Concrete Pavement under Dynamic Loading
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ABSTRACT

The analysis of rigid pavements is a complex mission for many reasons. First, the loading conditions include the repetition of parts of the applied loads (cyclic loads), which produce fatigue in the pavement materials. Additionally, the climatic conditions reveal an important role in the performance of the pavement since the expansion or contraction induced by temperature differences may significantly change the supporting conditions of the pavement. There is an extra difficulty because the pavement structure is made of completely different materials, such as concrete, steel, and soil, with problems related to their interfaces like contact or friction. Because of the problem's difficulty, the finite element simulation is the best technique incorporated in the analysis of rigid pavements. The ABAQUS software was used to conduct the response of previously tested specimens under different loading conditions. Good agreement between the laboratory and finite element results was observed. The maximum differences between experimental and finite element outcomes in terms of ultimate loads and ultimate deflection for rigid pavements under monotonic loading are 6% and 8%, respectively, and 10% and 18% respectively for the repeated load.
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الخلاصة

يعتبر تحليل الأرصفة الصلبة مهمة متعلقة بآليات تكرار أجزاء من الأعمال العاملة (الأعمال الدورية)، والتي تؤدي إلى إجهاد مواد الرصف. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، تكشف الظروف المناخية عن دور مهم في أداء الرصيف حيث أن التمدد أو الانكماش الناجم عن اختلاف درجات الحرارة قد يغير بشكل كبير الظروف الداعمة للرصيف. هناك صعوبة
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1. INTRODUCTION

The behavior of the rigid pavement is growing, and the need for carrying more analysis for improving the properties of concrete becomes larger. The weakness of tensile strength due to hard conditions is one of the orientations in previous research. The development or improvement of the concrete in rigid pavement by using the fibers is an important issue. These fibers, which spread in concrete, will usually improve material properties such as flexural strength, energy absorbed, ductility, toughness, fatigue strength, impact resistance, and accompanied with a slight enhancement in compressive strength (Daniel et al., 2002). The most important characteristic of any method of analyzing rigid concrete pavement is the performance, precision, and solution economy. One of the effective methods utilized for investigating members’ responses is the finite element simulation. This technique is a numerical method used to simulate stresses and deformation and to analyze various geometry members. The rigid pavement is discretized into smaller manageable finite elements with nodes that are used to define deformations and connect each element to the other. The accuracy of the results obtained by using such a technique is influenced by the arrangement, form, and a variety of other factors affecting the body mesh. Since the 1960s, the FEM has grown to be one of the tremendous techniques used to simulate engineering problems and the most useful research methods. The simulation by FEM reveals the capability to effectively model concrete pavement under various loads (Ergatoudis, Irons, and Zienkiewicz, 1968). This study employs ABAQUS 6.12 software which compromises a set of powerful engineering simulation subroutines used to model various complicated geometry and loading problems with linear and nonlinear responses. ABAQUS increases the load increments automatically, and convergence tolerances then correct them continuously during nonlinear analysis to ensure accuracy and efficiency in solving problems (ABAQUS 2012).

The numerical simulation of FRC has been given more consideration in recent years. (Belletti and Cerioni, 2004) presented experimental and numerical studies on the response of slabs made with fiber-reinforced concrete resting on grade for industrial pavements. This is done by testing four FRC slabs with fibers having various volume fractions and aspect ratios in the laboratory. The slabs must be placed on several steel springs to produce a Winkler subgrade. The finite element method uses to make numerical simulations based on nonlinear fracture mechanics. This extension concerns using a more realistic law for modeling the stiffness and strength of FRC after cracking the concrete matrix. Therefore, the model added the stiffness matrix for FRC with primary and secondary cracks. Finally, the findings of the experimental and finite element techniques were found to agree well, which provides valuable knowledge for design considerations. (Khan and Harwalkar, 2014) simulated the concrete pavements by using ANSYS software. The model of the concrete slab in FE is a solid 45 brick element, and the soil is spring elements. The analysis was carried out for a slab soil combination and a wide range of loads. Elastic springs reflect the soil such as "Winkler type". The soil stiffness was obtained from the sub-grade reaction module.
The main contribution of this study is to compare the model's stress results by using the method of finite elements with the classical approach of the method of Westergaard and IRC 58-2002. Compared to those obtained from ANSYS, Westergaard's equation under approximate edge wheel load stresses is validated. The bending laboratory test of steel fiber reinforced concrete specimens was simulated by (Zimmer et al., 2015) using the computer program 'ABAQUS'. The numerical 3D model of a three-point bending test using the testing machine under static loads up to its ultimate value is utilized. The loading rates were (0.5 and 1) kN/sec. The (SFRC) flexural members or beam specimens contain (0.0, 0.4, and 0.8%) steel fibers. Stress, strain, and deformation were obtained, and the "ABAQUS" simulation of the test was used. Results demonstrate that the magnitudes of deflection, stress, and strain are directly proportional to the increase in steel fiber and that the experimental results and the analytical methods can be fairly accepted. Finally, using 'ABAQUS,' a comparison of horizontal tensile stress and strain at the bottom of the concrete pavement with (0.0, 0.4, and 0.8) % steel fiber is made. The outcomes showed that the concrete pavement's ability to withstand higher magnitudes of tensile stress and strain without deterioration increases as the steel fiber content increases due to the increase in tensile stress.

2. FINITE ELEMENT MODELING

Nonlinear behavior modeling involved testing 12 square concrete pavements with a side length of 1000 mm and thickness of 120 mm. Tested concrete pavement is designed in accordance with ACI 318-19. Various parameters are considered in this study: steel reinforcement existing, fiber type (steel, glass, and hybrid), fiber content (VF), and load nature (monotonic and repeated). In which the symbol N designates non-fibrous concrete, SF designates steel fiber concrete, GF designates glass fiber concrete, HF designates hybrid fiber concrete. The symbol RC designates reinforced concrete and symbol C designates plain concrete. The symbol P designates pavement and 1 is used for monotonic loading while 2 is used for repeated loading. Table 1 shows the notation for the rigid pavement present study specimens. Fig.1 illustrates the sample geometry and details of reinforcement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix type</th>
<th>Pavement No.</th>
<th>Notation of specimen</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>NRCP 1</td>
<td>Nonfibrous Reinforced Concrete Pavement with (0.0) % fibers content and subjected to Monotonic loading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>NRCP 2</td>
<td>Nonfibrous Reinforced Concrete Pavement with (0.0) % fibers content and subjected to Repeated loading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>P3</td>
<td>SFRCP 1</td>
<td>Steel Fiber Reinforced Concrete Pavement with (0.5) % steel fiber content and subjected to Monotonic loading</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Description of specimens.
3. TYPE OF ELEMENT AND MESHING
To achieve high efficiency and retain precision, the suitable elements that are expected to represent the response are selected. Also, the appropriate material modeling that represents the fibrous and nonfibrous concrete is utilized (Kwak and Filippou, 1990). The 3D eight-node linear brick element was used in the present study with minimized integration, and hourglass control (C3D8R) is shown in Fig.2. On the other hand, the steel reinforcement bar was modeled using the two-node linear three-dimensional truss element (T3D2) is shown in Fig.3. While the finite element mesh of the specimens with an element mesh size of 50 mm for concrete and rigid steel support and 25 mm for reinforcement bar and loaded plate.

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>SFRCP 2</td>
<td>Steel Fiber Reinforced Concrete Pavement with (0.5) % steel fiber content and subjected to Repeated loading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7</td>
<td>HFRCP 1</td>
<td>Hybrid Fiber Reinforced Concrete Pavement (0.25 +0.25) % steel and glass fiber contents and subjected to Monotonic loading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8</td>
<td>HFRCP 2</td>
<td>Hybrid Fiber Reinforced Concrete Pavement (0.25 +0.25) % steel and glass fiber contents and subjected to Repeated loading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P9</td>
<td>HFCP 1</td>
<td>Hybrid Fiber Concrete Pavement (0.25 +0.25) % steel and glass fiber contents and subjected to Monotonic loading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10</td>
<td>HFCP 2</td>
<td>Hybrid Fiber Concrete Pavement (0.25 +0.25) % steel and glass fiber contents and subjected to Repeated loading</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** Reinforcement detail.
4. PROPERTIES OF MATERIALS

4.1 Concrete

The response of concrete pavements subjected to various stress components depends on different model methods, some of which model based on elasticity and some on plasticity. In ABAQUS, there are three approaches to model and analyze concrete material:

1. Standard material comprises damaged plasticity.
2. Standard material comprises smeared cracking.
3. Explicit concrete cracking model.

In this study, the damage plasticity approach can be used to model all forms of reinforced and unreinforced concrete members and other materials like soils with quasi-brittle behavior. Because of its ability to predict test output up to failure, this approach can be used to evaluate members subjected to monotonic, dynamic, and cyclic loading. (ABAQUS, 2012). The modeling of fibers in concrete using the FE-code ABAQUS/CAE demonstrates that the program can simulate the actual fibrous concrete response with acceptable accuracy by treating it as a single part with concrete and using the damage plasticity simulation and the outcomes depend on the material characteristics (uniaxial compression and tension response of tested material). The compression stress-strain response is obtained from the equations tabulated in the BSI code. In addition to the modulus of elasticity and the Poisons ratio, the following parameters must be specified in the ABAQUS program:

1. At a high confining strain, the dilation angle $\psi$ is determined in the p-q plane. It specifies the volume adjustment to the shear strain ratio. Most published research uses a dilation angle of $12^o$ to $37^o$ for concrete (ABAQUS, 2012) and (Lundqvist, 2007).
2. When the Drucker-Prager function reaches the asymptote, $\epsilon$ is the rate, the plastic stream tends to a straight line as the eccentricity approaches zero. An eccentricity of 0.1 is used in subsequent calculations.
3. The default value of 1.16 for $\sigma_{b0}/\sigma_{c0}$ (the initial biaxial compressive yield stress ratio to the initial uniaxial compressive yield stress) is selected.
4. $\mu$ is a viscosity parameter that shows the time it takes for the viscoplastic system to relax. This will improve the pavement specimen's numerical convergence during the softening process. Since the pavement model did not trigger significant convergence issues, the viscosity parameter should be set to zero.
5. $K_c$ is the ratio of the second stress invariant on the tensile meridian to the compressive meridian at initial yield, with a used default value of $2/3$. These parameters are default data that can be seen in Table 2. The concrete specimens are tested in the Laboratory of Civil Engineering Department of College of Engineering/Al- Nahrain University, and the properties of concrete are given in Table 3.

Table 2. Parameters of concrete damage plasticity for NRCP, SFRCP, HFRCP, and HFRCP 1%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio for concrete</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilation angle</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eccentricity</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{bo}/f_{co}$</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_c$</td>
<td>0.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity parameter</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Mechanical properties of concrete for modeling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimens</th>
<th>Compressive strength MPa</th>
<th>Splitting tensile strength MPa</th>
<th>Modulus of elasticity MPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NRCP</td>
<td>32.50</td>
<td>3.226</td>
<td>26900.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRCP</td>
<td>35.13</td>
<td>3.823</td>
<td>28877.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFRCP</td>
<td>38.27</td>
<td>3.795</td>
<td>28487.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFRCP 1%</td>
<td>42.10</td>
<td>3.940</td>
<td>30495.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Steel Modeling
The steel reinforcement was modeled using the classic elastic-perfectly plastic model. This model is applied in ABAQUS and only needs the modulus of elasticity, Poisson’s ratio, yield stress and the corresponding strain obtained from the experimental work to be input in the software, as shown in Table 4. The steel plates under the load and the pavement were modeled as an elastic material. Only the modulus of elasticity and Poisson’s ratio is needed, as no failure in the steel plates is expected to simplify the analysis.
Table 4. Properties of steel bar reinforcement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel bar</th>
<th>Stress MPa</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Modulus of Elasticity MPa</th>
<th>Poissons's ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rebar 8 mm</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200000</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Interaction
This property is used to connect various parts together to make them work as one component. Table 5. and Fig.4. given a different type of connection between sections.

Table 5. Type of connection between sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Type of Contact</th>
<th>Type of Constrain</th>
<th>Used of Constrain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Embedded Region</td>
<td>Make contact between reinforcement and concrete</td>
<td>It is used to model embedded elements inside the host element and constrain translation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2   | Tie                   | - Make contact between the concrete surface and circular plate  
                        - Make contact between the concrete surface and rigid steel supports | It is used to bond the two surfaces together to make the translation constrain, and the two surfaces have the same degree of freedom. |

Figure 4. Interaction type reinforcement bar with concrete, circular plate with concrete, rigid steel support with concrete.

4.4 Boundary Condition and Limitations
The edge restrictions must be identified to constrain the pavement to get a unique solution. The boundary conditions are applied at points where the supports exist. This boundary condition was modeled as simply supported along four edges at the lower sides, as shown in Fig.5. Nodes at the bottom face prevented movement against y-direction for all sides according to their coordinates.
4.5 Loads
The type of loading analysis must be precisely defined in the step module after the assembly of
the specimen parts. The static load on the pavement is identified using the option (static, general)
in the program. A displacement value was applied above the circular plate specimens at the center
of the concrete pavement, as shown in Fig.6. For the case of repeated loading, the loading was
subjected to the selected specimens based on the loading protocol suggested by FEMA 461

![Figure 5. Pavement boundary conditions.](image)

5. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS RESULTS
5.1 Load-deflection relationships
The numerical outcomes show a fair match with the experimental findings by (Shakir, H., 2021). The
maximum differences between experimental and finite element outcomes in terms of ultimate
loads and ultimate deflection for rigid pavements under monotonic loading are 6% and 8%,
respectively. The model can predict the values with great accuracy, as shown in Table 6. Figs.7 to 9
displays the centrally applied load variation with central deflections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pavement specimens</th>
<th>Exp. Results</th>
<th>FEA. Results</th>
<th>P_u EXP./P_u FEA.</th>
<th>Δu EXP./Δu FEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P_u (kN)</td>
<td>Δu (mm)</td>
<td>P_u (kN)</td>
<td>Δu (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRCP1</td>
<td>125.80</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>133.51</td>
<td>13.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRC1</td>
<td>151.40</td>
<td>16.76</td>
<td>158.16</td>
<td>15.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFRCP1</td>
<td>148.61</td>
<td>16.76</td>
<td>149.98</td>
<td>15.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. Concrete pavements under loads.
For repeated loading, the maximum differences between experimental and finite element outcomes in terms of ultimate loads and ultimate deflection for rigid pavements are 10% and 18%, respectively. The model can predict the values with lower accuracy for repeated loading, as shown in Table 7.

Table 7. Experimental and finite element analysis results of pavements subjected to repeated load.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pavement specimens</th>
<th>Exp. Results</th>
<th>FEA. Results</th>
<th>P_u EXP./P_u FEA.</th>
<th>Δu EXP./Δu FEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exp. Results</td>
<td>FEA. Results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P_u (kN)</td>
<td>Δu (mm)</td>
<td>P_u (kN)</td>
<td>Δu (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRCP2</td>
<td>107.72</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>119.12</td>
<td>11.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRCP2</td>
<td>130.12</td>
<td>15.29</td>
<td>145.6</td>
<td>12.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFRCP2</td>
<td>142.92</td>
<td>16.10</td>
<td>145.88</td>
<td>13.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The load-deformation curve obtained from the numerical simulation showed stiffer behavior compared to the experimental one. This is because micro-cracks caused by drying shrinkage and handling, which are present in the concrete to some extent, will reduce the stiffness of the actual specimens, while finite element models do not account for the micro-cracks. Also, some material parameters are assumed and not measured in the laboratory in finite elements. The program's repeated loading simulation has failed to trace the real behavior for some samples accurately.

Figure 7. FEA and experimental load-deflection curves for specimen NRCP1 and NRCP2 under monotonic and repeated load.
5.2 Crack Patterns Development

When the pavement fails, the cracking pattern on the stress side of the pavement spreads within the pavement adjacent to the center. The cracks propagate tangentially near the center with increasing load and then spread radially. The cracks are thought to be perpendicular to the maximum principal plastic strains, allowing the cracking direction to be seen through the maximum principal plastic strains. When the maximum principal plastic strain is positive, the concrete weakened or damaged plasticity model assumes cracking begins. Figs. 10 - 15 compares the concrete crack patterns obtained from the FEA findings with those found in the experimental test.
Figure 10. Cracking pattern on tension surface at ultimate monotonic load for specimens NRCP1.

Figure 11. Cracking pattern on tension surface at ultimate repeated load for specimens NRCP2.

Figure 12. Cracking pattern on tension surface at ultimate monotonic load for specimen SFRCP1.
Figure 13. Cracking pattern on tension surface at ultimate repeated load for specimens SFRCP2.

Figure 14. Cracking pattern on tension surface at ultimate monotonic load for specimens HFRCP1.

Figure 15. Cracking pattern on tension surface at ultimate repeated load for specimens HFRCP2.
5.3 Slab on soil model
The ABAQUS program simulates two types of concrete pavement specimens, assuming a layer of soil under the concrete pavement to simulate real pavement cases. These specimens are HFRCP1 and HFCP1. The slab on grade can be simulated using three-dimensional continuum solid elements. The model consists of a 120 mm concrete pavement layer placed on a virtual container having 6000 mm × 6000 mm side length and 3000 mm depth of subgrade soil. The properties of soil are detailed in Table 8. The soil is modeled as an elastic-plastic material with the Mohr-Coulomb yield criterion. The soil-concrete pavement interaction exhibits “tangential” and “normal” responses when the penalty type of formulation with a coefficient of 0.6 is used. The layer of soil is simulated with the same shape of concrete pavement to preserve the continuity of nodes between consecutive layers. The boundary conditions significantly impact predicting the model's response; the bottom surface of the subgrade and sides are assumed to be fixed.

Table 8. Soil data using presented Mohr-Coulomb model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Mass Density</th>
<th>Elastic Properties</th>
<th>Inelastic Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>1.44E-08</td>
<td>Young's Modulus MPa Poisson's Ratio</td>
<td>Friction Angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 16.** boundary condition of the model.  
**Figure 17.** FEA load-deflection curves for HFRCP1 and HFRCP1 with soil under monotonic load.
To study the behavior of fibrous hybrid pavements resting on soil, the HFCP1 specimen is selected. The specimen with the reinforcement steel bar completely replaced by hybrid fiber is chosen to test the fiber's contribution to flexural loading resistance and to verify the replacement of the main and secondary steel reinforcement in the concrete pavement for the real case when it is resting on the soil.
6. PARAMETRIC STUDY
To study further parameters that may affect the rigid pavement response to the applied load, a parametric investigation is made considering the followings:
1. The fiber content in the concrete mix
2. The response of hybrid fiber reinforced concrete pavement without top reinforcement bars
3. The thickness of hybrid fiber reinforced concrete
4. The nature of loading, distributed, or concentrated

The specimens used in these cases are the hybrid fiber reinforced concrete pavement HFRCP (0.25% steel and 0.25% glass). This specimen is considered the optimum in the load resistance, ductility, and toughness of concrete. It is considered the best economic one.

6.1 Fiber Content
Fig.21 shows the applied load-displacement variation for the reinforced concrete pavement when the hybrid fiber ratio varies from 0.5% to 1%. The cracking contours are given in Fig.22.

![Figure 21 FEA load-deflection curves for specimens HFRCP 1% and HFRCP 0.5% under monotonic and repeated load.](image1)

![Figure 22. Cracking pattern on tension surface at ultimate monotonic and repeated load for HFRCP 1%](image2)

6.2 Effect of top reinforcement
In this case, the top reinforcement existence in pavement HFPRCP (P: mean partially reinforced or without top reinforcement). The centrally applied load variation with central deflections and cracking contours are given in Figs. 23 and 24.
Figure 23. FEA load-deflection curves for specimen HFRCP and HFPRCP under monotonic and repeated load.

Figure 24. Cracking pattern on tension surface at ultimate monotonic and repeated load for specimens.

6.3 Pavement Thickness Effect
The hybrid fiber reinforced concrete (0.5% steel fiber and 0.5% glass fiber) thickness is varied to 180 mm. The selected bar diameter of reinforcement is 10 mm to obtain the same steel ratio for the 120 mm thickness pavement. The vertical displacement contours are given in Fig.25.

Figure 25. FEA load-deflection curves for specimens and Vertical displacement along longitudinal direction under monotonic load.
6.4 Effect of Distributed Load
The same HFRC model is utilized to study the load type. When the concentrated load is distributed over the area of concrete pavement, the pavement shows a better load-displacement response is obtained as shown in Fig.26 determined.

![Figure 26. FEA load-deflection curves for specimen HFRC1 and vertical displacement along longitudinal direction under monotonic distributed load.](image)

7. CONCLUSIONS

1. The finite element model and the plasticity damage material models used in the present research can almost accurately predict the behavior of fiber-reinforced concrete pavement with and without soil under monotonic and repeated loading schemes. The numerical analyses show that the behavior of specimens and the ultimate loads are in good match with the experimental results for nonfibrous and fibrous reinforced concrete pavement.

2. The models implemented in the ABAQUS computer program can accurately predict the region of damage within the concrete pavement. The crack patterns obtained for the analyzed specimens using finite element models under monotonic and repeated loading are nearly identical to the crack patterns observed in the experimental work.

3. The numerical behavior of concrete pavement by the load-deflection curve under monotonic and repeated loading displays an acceptable agreement with the corresponding experimental curves.

4. The Mohr-Coulomb model is a simple linear elastic-perfect plastic model for geomaterials built into ABAQUS finite element software and is widely used in soil modeling to simulate the response of buried structures under monotonic loading. It was found that the specimen of hybrid fiber reinforced concrete pavement with 0.5% fiber content supported by the soil (the real pavement condition) may bear a greater load than the slab with simply-supported edges (pavement with leaching soil).

5. The load-deflection curves of hybrid fiber reinforced concrete pavement with 0.5 and 1% fiber show a slight difference in the behavior. This is due to the slight increase in the steel fiber content (0.25%) which has a major effect in increasing the properties of concrete as in pavement it is not economical to use higher percentages of fiber.

6. The load-deflection curves of hybrid fiber reinforced concrete pavement with 1% fiber reveal that the effect of the top layer reinforcement is very small on the bearing capacity.
of the pavement in the case of the monotonic load. This is maybe due to bottom reinforcement adequacy in resisting bending moment resulting from applied loads. The slab thickness was adequate in resisting shear forces.

7. It has been found that when the thickness of the concrete pavement increases, keeping the steel ratio constant, the concrete becomes more rigid and withstands higher loads subjected to it.

8. It was found that the concrete pavement in the case of distributed load bears a higher load than the concentrated load state due to the spreading of the load over a larger area.
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