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ABSTRACT
The research targets study of influence of additives on sand mold’s properties and, consequently, on that of carbon steel CK45 casts produced by three molds. Three materials were selected for addition to sand mix at weight percentages. These are sodium carbonates, glycerin and oat flour. Sand molds of studied properties were produced to get casts from such molds. The required tests were made to find the best additives with respect to properties of cast. ANSYS software is used to demonstrate the stresses distribution of each produced materials. It is shown that the mechanical properties of casts produced is improved highly with sodium carbonates and is less with oat flour and it is seem a few with glycerin additives. It can be concluded that the sodium carbonates let to get the cast produced with highly mechanical properties.
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INTRODUCTION
Casting is an operation for fabrication of the important and essential metals concerned with metal forming in the liquid state, i.e. casting the molten metal in a mold with a cavity whose form coincides with part to be produced.
Sand casting is considered as the most extensively used method of casting due to its low cost, ease of dealing with it and adequacy for repeated use in spite of importance of use of metal molds at casting. Its use is limited due to high cost and difficulty of fabricating the small and large forms. Thus, casting by use of sand molds is the trend. Such molds must possess the required specifications (strength, permeability, thermal properties, etc.) (Abid Al-Razaq I. Khdiar, 2000). The sand is mixed with suitable binders and additives to improve its mechanical properties which determine the stability of the cast and type of its surface (St. Dobosz, D. Drozyuski and A. Chojecki, 1997). The determination of the required percentages for mixing should be conducted according to scientific consideration for obtaining the optimum result with least possible costs (Asaad K. Mezher, 2004). A group of factors influence the selection of a suitable casting method, the most important of these factors are:

- Design of the product to be fabricated.
- Form and size of the cast.
- Properties of the produced cast.
- The economic feasibility for the operation compared with forming operations.
- Cost of tools and devices used.
- The required surface quality of the cast.

Few papers studied the effect of mould on the cast produced. Abbas A. A. Al-Raid, 1978, studied the Iraqi sand properties which is used in casting process. Najia Yousif Khaiou, 2005, studied the effect of additives on properties of sand moulds and then on the properties of cast iron. The effect of additives on sand moulds is studied in this research, in which three types of different additives is used, then their effects on the properties (mechanical and chemical) of the cast is investigated. Also the finite element analysis via ANSYS program is used to show the difference in the stresses distribution in the product’s cast.

EXPERIMENTAL PART
It includes the following:

Sand Preparation
Sand Arthoma from Al-Anbar governorate was chosen for many reasons. Most important of which are its content of higher percentage of silica SiO2, the dominating sub-angular grain shape and its high strength and binding force. The chemical composition is shown in Table 1.

Sieve Analysis
The sieve analysis of sand was conducted to determine the distribution of grain size and fineness number by mechanical vibrating sieve consisting of (11) sieves starting with no. of 2000 microns hole up to the last sieve of (53) microns. The test was conducted on 100 grams quantity for 15 minutes. After determination of finesses number, sand was used which passes from grid no. 44 of grain size (35-355) microns. Sizes (500-2000) micron were excluded for coarseness.

Preparation of the Binding Material
Iraqi Bentonite was chosen. It is the traditional binding material for moist sand mold casting, type Calcium. Table 2, shows the chemical composition for the percent bentonite binding material. The total percentage is 91.5% and the rest is clay.

Additives
The following materials were added.
A- Glycerol
It is a pure chemical. Its trade name is Glycerin. Its pure composition is (CH2OH)- (CHOH) – (CH2OH).
B- Oat Flour
It is a cereal crop, when water is added becomes a slurry of high viscosity. (Douglas Doehlert, 2000).

Few papers studied the effect of mould on the cast produced. Abbas A. A. Al-Raid, 1978, studied the Iraqi sand properties which is used in casting process. Najia Yousif Khaiou, 2005, studied the effect of additives on properties of sand moulds and then on the properties of cast iron. The effect of additives on sand moulds is studied in this research, in which three types of different additives is used, then their effects on the properties (mechanical and chemical) of the cast is investigated. Also the finite element analysis via ANSYS program is used to show the difference in the stresses distribution in the product’s cast.
Also there are other elements in the oat flour consistent as shown in Table 4.  
C- Sodium Carbonates (Na$_2$CO$_3$)
Most crude bentonite in the world is of calcium bentonite. It is capable of swelling, viscous, low heat resistance. It can be transformed to sodium bentonite by chemical treatment of adding sodium carbonates. The following equation illustrates it [J.G. Sylvia,1972 ; K.strauss ,1970).  
Calcium bentonite + Sodium carbonate Na$_2$CO$_3$ Æ Sodium bentonite + calcium carbonate CaCO$_3$

Preparation of Sand Mixture
Regulation of mixture percentage require a little flexibility (stability) between the high strength to be evidenced by the mold during casting and fragmentation / breakage without which the sand can not be returned to preparation units for reuse a new, therefore, to attain the required properties (moist permeability, moist hardness, strength to moist compression and strength to dry compression), the optimum mixture percentage (sand, water, bentonite and additive) are to be chosen, therefore, the effect of sand (mixture percentage change was tested as follows:  
Bentonite Percentages
Bentonite was added by 15% weight , and water was added at 5% .  
Additives
The mentioned materials were added by weight percentage as shown in Table 5 
Preparation of sand Sample
After mixing the materials by a mixer, a quantity was taken for standard samples (5x5 cm ) making for testing for compressibility and permeability. Table 6 , illustrated the properties of the sand mold The sand casting was made. The metal was melted for casting to acquire castings (50 mm diameter x 30 cm long specimen each) .

RESULTS OF CASTINGS INSPECTION
A casting is considered to have achieved its purpose only after the casting is ascertained of being up to the required specifications. This entails the following tests:  
1- Chemical Composition
The chemical composition of steel CK45 was tested. Table 7 , illustrated it.  
2- Non-Destructive Tests
(a) Visual inspection
An inspection was conducted for any apparent defect (tears and cracks) .  
(b) Penetration Inspection This penetration inspection used to detect surface defects which can not be determined visually.  
(c) Radiographic
(a) x-ray (short wave ray) was used for inspection of the casting to detect internal defects. These rays can penetrate metal, passing via the casting to be inspected. It records on a photographic film, indicating the passing ray's density. Defects appear as opaque spots. Thus, their locations are determined. Inspection time was 2.5 min at 200 KV by German Feifer1 Apparatus No. 020386-17 .  
(b) Ultrasonic inspection
This inspection was implemented to detect minute defects, inside the metal, which can not be detected by x-ray. USK7-5 apparatus with SEB2H probe and electronic oscillograph was used. The same prepared surface is inspection (3-15-2-2) was used . A gelatinic materials was used. A gelatinic material was used to facilitate movement of the probe along the surface.
Above inspections were conducted at State Company for Heavy Engineering Equipment / Quality Control.

(d) Surface Roughness Inspection
Talysurf Apparatus of Technology University – Production and Metals Dept. was used to inspection the surface roughness.  
(e) Mechanical Properties inspection
(1) Tensile Strength
Tensile specimen castings were obtained according to ASTM-A48-83, whose axisymmetric dimensions are specified in Fig.1.  
(2) A tensile test by Instron 1195 apparatus was conducted. Fig.(2) shows the stress-strain curves for the steel CK45 in the three cases
(i.e. when the Sodium Carbonate, Oat flour and Glycerin are used)

Table 8, illustrates the results of tensile test.

3) Impact Strength

The specimens which were prepared of the same casting according to ASTM-A48-83 specifications was chosen for impact strength by Charpy method. The dimensions are as shown in the Fig.3, the apparatus used was Heckrt type.

Table 9, illustrated the results of impact test.

4) Hardness Tests

Brinell method for hardness test was used, implementing a quenched steel ball, 5mm diameter and load 750 Kg, by Leybod Harris apparatus. Table-10, illustrated the results of hardness test.

MICROSCOPIC TEST OF SPECIMEN

Casting specimens were microscopically tested as follows:

- The specimen is made fine by smoothing apparatus of different grades (120, 200, 350, 500, 800, 1000).
- It is then polished by a polishing cloth with alumina solution of 0.3 micron. It is then washed and dried.
- Chemical treatment by Nital solution (1.8 nitric acid, 0.98 methyl alcohol)
- It is tested by optical microscope (Nikon73346) at X27 magnification, then photographed by a digital camera attached to the microscope. The photos of microscope are shown in the Fig.4.

- MODEL GENERATION BY ANSYS

- The ultimate purpose of a finite element analysis is to re-create mathematically the behavior of an actual engineering system. In other words, the analysis must be an accurate mathematical model of a physical prototype. In the broadest sense, the model comprises all the nodes, elements, material properties, real constants, boundary conditions and the other features that used to represent the physical system.

- In ANSYS terminology, the term model generation usually takes on the narrower meaning of generating the nodes and elements that represent the special volume and connectivity of the actual system. Thus, model generation in this study will mean the process of defining the geometric configuration of the model's nodes and elements. The program offers the following approaches to model generation (Saeed Mouveni, 1999).
  - a) Creating a solid model
  - b) Using direct generation
  - c) Importing a model created in a computer-aided design CAD system.

- The method used in this research to generate a model is a solid model. In solid modeling some one can be described the boundaries of the model, establish controls over the size and desired shape elements automatically, i.e. drawing the two dimensional specimen model and meshing using mesh tool and express the axsymmetrical condition. Solid modeling is usually more powerful and versatile than other modeling, and is commonly the preferred method for generation models. The Two Dimension model of specimen is done by drawing and meshing two dimensional axisymmetry specimen with element plan82. Fig.5, shows the specimen model in ANSYS. (ANSYS on-line-help, 1996).

Fig.6, shows the stresses distribution and the deformed shape in the specimen when the glycerin additive is used to the mould sand. Fig.7, shows the stresses distribution and the deformed shape in the specimen when the sodium carbonate additive is used to the mould sand. Fig.8, shows the stresses distribution and the deformed shape in the specimen when the oat flour additive is used to the mould sand. From these figures it can be get that, in the case of glycerin additives the max. stress is (0.359 GPa) and with sodium carbonate additives (0.328 GPa) and for oat flour additives (0.321 GPa), from...
these results it can be concluded that the sand mold with additives glycerin is produced specimen bearing stresses more than the other additives.

CONCLUSIONS
1- The additives to the sand made improvement in impact, tensile strength and hardness for the carbon steel CK45 casts produced
2- It found that sodium carbonate was led to high improvement in these properties of casts and after that the addition of oat flour which also effect in improvement the mechanical properties of casting compared with the casting without additives.
3- Also it’s found that the stresses distribution in case of sodium carbonate additive is more efficient than the other two cases and the cast produced bearing more stresses than the others.
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Table 1: Chemical composition of the used sand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loss on Ignition</th>
<th>K₂O % Max.</th>
<th>MgO %</th>
<th>CaO%</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃ % Max.</th>
<th>Al₂O₃%</th>
<th>SiO₂% Min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Chemical composition of the bentonite binding material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Loss on Ignition</th>
<th>CaO % Max.</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃ % Max.</th>
<th>Al₂O₃% Min</th>
<th>SiO₂% Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Oat Flour consistent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moisture</th>
<th>Fat %</th>
<th>Starch and Glucose %</th>
<th>Protein %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Elements in Oat Flour consistent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phosphorus</th>
<th>Magnesium</th>
<th>Potassium</th>
<th>Sodium</th>
<th>Calcium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>405 mg/100g</td>
<td>17 mg/100g</td>
<td>268 mg/100g</td>
<td>6 mg/100g</td>
<td>54 mg/100g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: The weight percentage of the additives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sodium Carbonate</th>
<th>Oat flour</th>
<th>Glycerin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Properties of the sand mold

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strength of dry compression (MPa)</th>
<th>Strength to moist compression (MPa)</th>
<th>Moist hardness No. B. scale</th>
<th>Permeability No.</th>
<th>% of Addition</th>
<th>Additives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Na₂CO₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Oat flour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Glycerin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Chemical composition for Carbon Steel CK45

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Wt%</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Ni</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard Value</td>
<td>0.4-0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5-0.8</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual Value</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table – 8 – Tensile test results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mech. Properties of steel CK45</th>
<th>Yield strength MPa</th>
<th>Ultimate Strength MPa</th>
<th>Elongation %</th>
<th>Young Modulus GPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Carbonate</td>
<td>297.27</td>
<td>743.18</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>3.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oat flour</td>
<td>258.57</td>
<td>790.6</td>
<td>12.03</td>
<td>2.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerin</td>
<td>331.788</td>
<td>683.09</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table – 9 – Impact test results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact Stress N/mm²</th>
<th>Oat flour</th>
<th>Sodium Carbonate</th>
<th>Glycerin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>169.13</td>
<td>209.75</td>
<td>171.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table – 10 – Hardness test results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hardness Kg/mm²</th>
<th>As received</th>
<th>Oat flour</th>
<th>Sodium Carbonate</th>
<th>Glycerin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>162.4</td>
<td>168.8</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>153</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.(1) Dimensions of tensile specimen
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Fig.(2) Stress-Strain curves for the steel CK45 cast in the three cases (Sodium Carbonate, Oat flour and Glycerin)

Fig.(3) Impact test specimen
Fig.(4) microscopic structure of the cast produced (a) mould sand with Glycerin (b) mould sand with Sodium Carbonate (c) mould sand with Oat flour

Fig.(5) Model of the specimen in ANSYS
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(a) Stresses distribution
(b) deformed shaped
Fig.(6) Stresses distribution and the deformed shape in the specimen when the glycerin additive is used to the mould sand

(a) Stresses distribution
(b) deformed shaped
Fig.(7) Stresses distribution and the deformed shape in the specimen when the sodium carbonate additive is used to the mould sand
Fig. (8) Stresses distribution and the deformed shape in the specimen when the oat flour additive is used to the mould sand.