

Journal of Engineering journal homepage: <u>www.joe.uobaghdad.edu.iq</u> Number 6 Volume 25 June 2019



Water Resources and Surveying Engineering

Water Retention Techniques under Crop's Root Zone a Tool to Enhance Water Use Efficiency and Economic Water Productivity for Zucchini

Ali Hassan HommadiSabah Anwer Almasraf*Msc degree, EngineerAssist. Professor*Ministry of Water ResourcesWater Resources Eng. Dept.In water resource College of Engineering- University of Baghdadalihassan197949@yahoo.comsabah_dawood@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

A new technique in cultivation by installing membrane sheet below the crop's root zone was helped to save irrigation water in the root zone, less farm losses, increasing the field water use efficiency and water productivity. In this paper, the membrane sheet was installed below the root zone of zucchini during the summer growing season 2017 in open field. This research was carried out in a private field in Babil governorate at Sadat Al Hindiya Township reached 72 km from Baghdad. Surface trickle irrigation system was used for irrigation process. Two treatment plots were used, treatment plot T1 using membrane sheet and treatment plot T2 without using the membrane sheet. The applied irrigation water, time of irrigation, soil moisture contents before irrigation were calculated and recorded daily for the two treatments plots. Values of crop yield, Field water use Efficiency and economic water productivity were discussed and compared between the plots. The obtained results indicate that field water use efficiency for the two plots, T1 and T2 were: 6.04 and 4.64 kg/m³, respectively. The increasing value in field water use efficiency (FWUE) of plot T1 comparing with plot T2 was 30.2 %. Additionally, the value of economic water productivity of zucchini crop for plots T1 and T2 was: 20514.1 and 15031.7 ID/m³, respectively. The increasing value of the Economic water productivity (EWP) of plot T1 comparing with plot T2 was 36.5 %. The value of water saving in plot T1 was 16.7%. The reduction in frequency of irrigation at T1 was 12 %. Keywords: zucchini, water use efficiency, membrane sheet, and economic water productivity.

*Corresponding author

- Peer review under the responsibility of University of Baghdad. https://doi.org/10.31026/j.eng.2019.06.04
- 2520-3339 © 2019 University of Baghdad. Production and hosting by Journal of Engineering.
- This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)</u>. Article received: 4/4/2018

Article accepted: 25/6/2018

تقنية أحتجاز المياه تحت المنطقة الجذرية للنبات كأداة لتحسين كفاءة استعمال المياه وانتاجية المياه الاقتصادية للكوسا

صباح انور المصرف استاذ مساعد قسم هندسة الموارد المائية كلية الهندسة جامعة بغداد

علي حسن حمادي مهندس في وزارة الموارد المائية ماجستير في الموارد المائية

الخلاصة

التقنيات الحديثة في الزراعة بواسطة تنصيب غشاء تحت الطبقة الجذرية للنبات ساعدت لحفظ ماء الري في المنطقة الجذرية، تقلل ضائعات الري، زيادة كفاءة استعمال المياه وانتاجية المياه. في هذا البحث تم نصب غشاء من البلاستك تحت الطبقة الجذرية، تقال ضائعات الري، زيادة كفاءة استعمال المياه وانتاجية المياه. في هذا البحث تم نصب غشاء من البلاستك تحت مطبقة الجذرية لنبات الكوسا خلال موسم النمو الصيفي 2017 في حقل مفتوح. تم انجاز البحث في حقل خاص يقع في محافظة بابل في ناحية سدة الهندية تبعد 72 كم من العاصمة بغداد. استخدم نظام الري بالتنقيط في عملية الري. استخدمت محافظة بابل في ناحية سدة الهندية تبعد 72 كم من العاصمة بغداد. استخدم نظام الري بالتنقيط في عملية الري. استخدمت معالجتين زراعتين، المعالجة 11 استخدم الغشاء البلاستيكي والمعالجة 72 بدون استخدام الغشاء. ماء الري المطبق، وقت معالجتين زراعتين، المعالجة الري حسبت وسجلت بشكل يومي للمعالجة 72 بدون استخدام الغشاء. ماء الري المطبق، وقت الري، المحتويات الرطوبة قبل الري حسبت وسجلت بشكل يومي للمعالجة 12 بدون استخدام الغشاء. ماء الري المطبق، وقت لاسي، المعالجة المياه الاقتصادية تم مناقشتها ومقارنتها بين المعالجات الزراعية. النتائج المحصول، الكفاءة الحقلية لاستخدام المياه و انتاجية المياه الاقتصادية تم مناقشتها ومقارنتها بين المعالجات الزراعية. النتائج المستحصلة اعطت قيم الري، المتخابة لاستخدام المياه و انتائية المعالحية الحقية الحقية لاستخدام المياه و انتاجية الراعية الاولى والثانية 6.06 و 6.04 كم كم متر مكعب على التوالي و بنسبة زيادة المعالجة الرياعية. الاولى والثانية 10.06 و 10.04 كم من مكم لكل متر مكعب على التوالي و بنسبة زيادة المعالجة الولى والثانية 6.06 و 10.04 كم مند مكعب على التوالي و بنسبة زيادة المعالجة الاولى والثانية والمياه في المعالجة الزراعية الاولى والثانية 6.06 و 20.04 كم من مكم مكل متر مكعب على التوالي و بنسبة زيادة للمعالجة الاولى والثانية قادة 10.06 و 10.05 كم لكل متر مكعب ويزيادة في المعالجة الاولى مالادي والثانية 10.05 كم لكل متر مكما وبزيانية 20.06 و 10.05 كم مكل متر مكما وبن مكان في عدد فترات الرواعية الاولى والثانية 20.06 و التفسان في عدد فترات الري بمقدار 12 ألى مالاخار في استخدام المياه في المعالجة المي مقار متا ملامي ما معال في مقدا 10.16 كم ما معالي الافي مال

1. INTRODUCTION

In this research will study the effect of installing the membrane sheet of subsurface water retention technology below the root zone on water use efficiency and economic water productivity to squash (zucchini) crops in open field and compered the result with the crops without using subsurface water retention technology to get on the best result in water reduction and increasing of production and this aims more the researcher. Smucker, et al., 2016, studied the effect of the membrane sheet installed below the soil surface and under the root zone of the crop on yield and water use efficiency (WUE) of maize. The experiment was conducted inside a greenhouse and lysimeter was constructed. Sandy soil was used cultivated with maize. The results indicated that the soil water content above the membrane sheet was dubled the water holding capacity, increased maize production by 240 % and increasing water use efficiency by 77 %. The economic water productivity (WP) defined as the net return dividing by water applied. The best understanding, calculated and enhancing of WP helped to strengthen of water crisis and response to growth of water scarcity, increasing of production inputs and improving farmer livelihoods Molden, et al., 2010. Isa 2016, studied the effect of installing the membrane sheet below the root zone on WUE of hot pepper and tomato crops in greenhouses. The experimental sites were located in Diyala and Najaf governorates utilize SWRT treatment plot, organic treatment plots, tillage treatment plots and no tillage treatment plots. The obtained results showed that the value of WUE of hot pepper in Diyala site with SWRT was more than other plots by 233. Additionally, the value of WUE of hot pepper in Najaf site was more than other plots by 165 %. Amirpour, et al., 2016 investigated the influence of SWRT on soil moisture content, frequency of watering and heat of the soil. SWRT was set up at varies depths in sand texture of soil. The plant which utilized name the maize and utilized straw mulches cultivated on



soil surface and without. The search was carried out in Kerman of Iran during the second month of 2013 to the last of eleventh month of 2014. The water and heat of soil was improved via using SWRT sheet and the irrigation frequency was calculated. Furthermore, SWRT sheet was appeared to be good influence on water retention for plant at all depths. They suggest using SWRT sheet with mulch to improve the condition of sandy texture soil. **Hommadi**, **2018**, evaluated the using of the membrane sheet below hot pepper and okra crop's root zone on water use efficiency and water productivity. The experimental work was carried out in two different sites in the growing seasons 2016 and 2017, in Sadat Al-Hindyia, Babylon of Iraq. Surface trickle irrigation system was used in the irrigation process inside the greenhouses. The obtained results indicated that clear increasing values of WUE and WP in the treatment plot with membrane sheet were observed. The value of WUE of hot pepper and okra was more than other plots by an average value of 54 % and 25 %, respectively. Moreover, the economic WP of hot pepper and okra in the plot of membrane sheet was more than other plots by an average value of 54 %, respectively.

Salim, 2018 explained in his study work that the field water use efficiency of eggplant was increased when membrane sheet was installed below the root zone of eggplant. The experiment was conducted inside greenhouse and in open field of the growing seasons 2016 and 2017. The research work was located north east of Baghdad. Two treatment plots were used; plot T1 with installing membrane sheet and plot T2 without using the membrane sheet. Sandy loam soil was used. The obtained results showed that FWUE of eggplant in the first and second season by 50 and 41 %, respectively.

The objectives of this study were to improve of utilizing of installing the membrane sheet below the root zone of zucchini on the value of crop yield, field water use efficiency and on the value of economic water productivity.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field Conditions and Site of the Research Study

The research study was located in Sadat Al Hindiya Township on Sada- Mahawel road, in the Hilla city at Babil governorate 72 Km south of Baghdad. The latitude was equal $32 \circ 42'$ 23"N and longitude = 44° 36"E, and altitude: 31m. Fig. 1 shown the Google map for the site work. Analysis of the soil from the site work was conducted in the laboratory of the Agriculture College in Baghdad University to indicate the physical properties of the soil. The analysis included soil texture, field capacity, wilting point and apparent specific gravity. The soil texture was classified as loamy sand soil for depth ranges 0 to 1.0 m. The field capacity was 12.9 % by volume and permanent wilting point was 6.94 % by volume. The apparent specific gravity of loamy sand soil was 1.45 and the allowable depletion of zucchini was taken as 50 % and the maximum effective root zone was 50 cm according to FAO-56 ,Allen, et al., 1998.



Figure 1.Google map for the site work.

Treatments, Experimental Design and Crop Material.

Two treatments were utilized: the first treatment plot T1 was utilized SWRT membrane that set partially below soil surface. The second treatment plot T2 without using SWRT. Each treatment area was 8.3 m², with total area 30 m². The membrane sheet of thickness 100 μ m was installed below the soil surface in depth 30 cm under the root zone of crops as U shape with aspect ratio 2:1 (width to height). The set up process of the membrane sheet was carried out by manual work as shown in **Fig. 2**. The cross section through the site work was showed in **Fig. 3**. Zucchini (*Cucurbita pepo L.*) was used in this work planted at a distance of 20 cm. Surface trickle irrigation system was used with emitter spacing equal to 20 cm, therefore each plant provided with one emitter of average flow rate of 32 cm³/min. Date of seeding the plant was started at May 2017 and the harvest date was mid of August 2017. Electric water pump was used for the trickle system of maximum flow rate equal to 30 l/min with maximum head equal to 30 m and rated at power equal to 0.37 kw (0.5 HP).



Figure 2. Installation process of the polyethylene sheet below the soil surface.





Figure 3 Cross section through the soil deck.

Yield Index, Field Water Use Efficiency (WUE) and Economic Water Productivity (WP) Yield Index

The summation of production from date of starting harvest till to end of the harvest pickings crop's production was utilize as a total production. The crop yield (kg/m^2) was describing by **FAO**, **1982**:

$$Crop Yield = \frac{\text{total weight of crop } (kg)}{\text{total area of crop } (m^2)}$$
(1)

Field Water Use efficiency

Field water use efficiency (FWUE) defines as a result of dividing the crop yield on total depth of applied water. Field water use efficiency (kg/m³) was applied according to **Naroua**, et al., 2014:

$$FWUE = \frac{crop \ yield(\frac{Kg}{m^2})}{total \ depth \ of \ applied \ water \ (m)}$$
(2)

Economic Water productivity

Economic water productivity (EWP) can be defined as a return divided by volume of applied water (m³). The value of return (in Iraqi Dinars) was equal to crop production multiply by the selling price in the market:

$$E W P = \frac{\text{Retun}}{\text{volume water applied}(m^3)}$$
(3)

3. RESULTS AND THE DISCUSSIONS

Frequency of Irrigation and the Applied Depth of Water

Schedule of irrigation was conducted for the treatments plots through the growing season and when the soil allowable water depletion (AD) reached to 50 % from the available water. Month, depth of applied water and frequency of irrigation of zucchini in treatment plots T1 and T2 for the growing season 2017 were shown in **Table 1**. The total depth of applied water in plots T1 and T2 were 317.8 and 370.5 mm, respectively. Saving in water in plot T1 was 16.7 %. Additionally, total frequency of irrigation in plots T1 and T2 were 25 and 28, respectively, with reduction in number of irrigation in plot T1 by 12 %. Treatment plot T1 was conserving water in the soil root depth higher than T2 due to membrane sheet.



Total	317.8	25	370.5	28
July	127.28	9	150.66	10
June	105.58	8	115.05	9
May	85	8	104.8	9
	of applied water (mm) in plot T1	of irrigation in plot T1	of applied water (mm) in plot T2	irrigation in plot T2
Month	Depth	Frequency	Depth	Frequency of

Table 1. Month, depth of applied water and frequency of irrigation of zucchini in treatment plotsT1 and T2 for the growing season 2017.

Crop Yield and Field Water Use Efficiency of Zucchini Crop

The index of crop yield was estimated by the **Eq. 1** for the treatments T1 and T2 were 1.92 kg/m² and 1.72 kg/m², respectively. The yield for plot T1 was higher than that in plot T2 by 11.6 %. This raising in yield index of the crop in T1 was because of the water and nutrient that were retention in root zone over the membrane sheet. **Table 2** shows the yield index of zucchini crop at month of June and July for plots T1 and T2. Increasing the yield index in plot T1 was 11.6 %. Additionally, the value of field water use efficiency (FWUE) for plots T1 and T2 were estimated by applying **Eq. 2** and to: 6.04 kg/m³ and 4.64 kg/m³, respectively. The increasing value in FWUE in plot T1 comparing with plot T2 was 30.2 %. The membrane sheet was assist on saving water, nutrient and pesticides inside root zone and prevention the water from losses by deep percolation process. **Fig. 4** shown the yield index and FWUE values of zucchini crop for plots T1 and T2.



Figure 4 Crop Yield and FWUE values for treatments plots T1 and T2 of zucchini in the growth season 2017.



Month	Yield for T1 (kg/m ²)	Yield for T2 (kg/m ²)
June	1	0.89
July	0.92	0.83
Total sum	1.92	1.72

Table 2. Month and crop yield of zucchini for treatment plots T1 and T2 for the growing season

 2017.

Economic Water Productivity

In this paper economic water productivity (EWP) was estimated by applying **Eq. 3.** The EWP of the treatment plots T1 and T2 was 20514.1 and 15031.7 ID/m³. **Table 3** shows the production, total selling price, return, applied volume of water and economic water productivity of zucchini for treatment plots T1 and T2. The value of EWP in plot T1 was higher than plot T2 by 36.5 %. Increasing the value of the yield index and decreasing in quantity of applied water was because of the membrane sheet below the root zone that assists on save water, fertilizer and pesticide in root zone. **Fig. 5** shows the comparison in EWP of zucchini between treatments plots T1 and T2 in the growing season 2017. **Fig. 6** shows zucchini at different growing stages.

Table 3. Production, total selling price, return, applied volume of water and economic waterproductivity for treatment plots T1 and T2.

Parameters	Plot T1	Plot T2
Production (kg)	15.96	14.25
Average total selling price (ID)	500	500
Return (ID)	7980	7125
Applied volume of water (m ³)	0.389	0.474
Economic water productivity (ID/m ³)	20514.1	15031.7



Figure 5. Economic water productivity for treatments T1 and T2 of zucchini in the growing season 2017.





Figure 6. zucchini crop at different growing stages.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Using the membrane sheet below the root zone of the crops was helped on conserving the water and nutrient and assists on reduction the frequency water irrigation and amount of supplied water, resulting high crop yield and field water use efficiency and also the economic water productivity value:

Saving water in plot T1 was 16.7 % comparing with plot 2. Moreover, frequency in irrigation was reduced in plot T1 by 12 %. The crop yield in plot T1 was more than in plot T2 by 11.6 %. Additionally, FWUE in plot T1 was more than in plot T2 by 30.2 %. Accordingly, the value of EWP in plot T1 was more than in plot T2 by 36.5 %.

The increasing value of production and with high selling price of the crop will result high value of the net return, and with less amount of the applied water could be benefit to the farmer as long the farmer looking forward for more income and benefit. The membrane sheet under the soil surface was useful in saving applied water, fertilizer and nutrients. SWRT will be useful in coarse texture soils and with rainy season. Desert area could be changed to green fields.

5- REFERENCES

- Allen, R.G., L.S., Pereira, D. R., and Smith, M., 1998, *Crop Evapotranspiration-Guidelines for Computing Crop Water Requirements*, FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper56. Food and Agriculture Organization. Rome, Italy.
- Amirpour, M., Shorafa, M., Gorji, M., and Naghavi, H., 2016, *Effect of subsurface water* retention using polyethylene membranes with surface mulch and irrigation on moisture, temperature and salinity of sandy soil of an arid region in Iran, Advances in Environmental Sciences-International Journal of the Bio flux Society, Vol.8, Issue 1.
- FAO, 1982, *Crop water requirement irrigation and drainage*. Paper no.24, FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Hommadi, A.H., 2018 *Evaluating the use of Subsurface Water Retention Technology* MSc thesis in Water Resource department/College of engineering/ Baghdad University, Iraq.





- Isa, H. A. M., 2016, *Effect of SWRT technology on water productivity of tomato and Chili pepper in sandy soil under water scarcity*, PhD Thesis College of Agriculture/ Baghdad University, Iraq.
- Molden, D., Oweis, T., Steduto, P., Bindraban, P., Hanjra, M. A., and Kijne, J., 2010, *Improving agricultural water productivity: Between optimism and caution* University, Australia, Agricultural Water Management, 97, pp. 528–535.
- Naroua, I., S., Leonor R., and Calvo, R. S., 2014, *Water use efficiency and water productivity in the Spanish irrigation district Río Adaja'''*. International Journal of Agricultural Policy and Research. Vol.2 (12), pp. 484-491.
- Salim, A.H.,2018, *Improving Field Water Use Efficiency by Using Subsurface Water Retention Technology* MSc thesis in Water Resource department/College of engineering/ Baghdad University, Iraq.
- Smucker, A.J.M., Yang, Z., Guber, A. K., He, X.C., Lai, F.H., and Berhanu, S., 2016, *A new Revolutionary Technology to Feed Billions by Establishing Sustainable Agriculture on Small and Large Landscapes Including Urban Regions Globally*, International Journal of Development Research, Vol. 06, Issue, 10, pp. 9596-9602.

NOMENCLATURE

SWRT= subsurface water retention technology.

T1, T2 = treatment plots.

EWP = economic water productivity (ID/m^3) .

ID = Iraqi dinar

FWUE = field water use efficiency (kg/m³).